

Superconformal Symmetry and Correlation Functions

Jeong-Hyuck Park*

*School of Physics, Korea Institute for Advanced Study
207-43 Cheongryangri-dong, Dongdaemun-gu
Seoul 130-012, Korea*

Abstract

Four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}$ -extended superconformal symmetry and correlation functions of quasi-primary superfields are studied within the superspace formalism. A superconformal Killing equation is derived and its solutions are classified in terms of supertranslations, dilations, Lorentz transformations, R -symmetry transformations and special superconformal transformations. In general, due to the invariance under supertranslations and special superconformal transformations, superconformally invariant n -point functions reduce to one unspecified $(n - 2)$ -point function which must transform homogeneously under the remaining rigid transformations, i.e. dilations, Lorentz transformations and R -symmetry transformations. Based on this result, we are able to identify all the superconformal invariants and obtain the general form of n -point functions for scalar superfields. In particular, as a byproduct, a selection rule for correlation functions is derived, the existence of which in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory was previously predicted in the context of AdS/CFT correspondence [1]. Superconformally covariant differential operators are also discussed.

PACS: 11.30.Pb; 11.25.Hf

Keywords: Superconformal symmetry; Correlation functions; Selection rule; Superconformally covariant differential operators

*E-mail address: jhp@kias.re.kr

1 Introduction & Summary

Superconformal field theories have been of renewed attention after the Maldacena conjecture that the string/M theory on AdS_{d+1} backgrounds is dual to a conformal field theory in a spacetime of dimension, d , which is interpreted as the boundary of AdS_{d+1} [2–4]. As all the known nontrivial conformal field theories in higher than two dimensions are supersymmetric theories [5–16], it is natural to consider a group which combines supersymmetry and conformal symmetry together, i.e. the superconformal group. In fact, the pioneering work on supersymmetry in four-dimensions [17] introduced the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superconformal symmetry, though it is broken at quantum level.

Contrary to the ordinary conformal symmetry, not all spacetime dimensions allow superconformal symmetry. The standard supersymmetry algebra admits an extension to a superconformal algebra only if $d \leq 6$ [18] (for a review see [19]). In particular in four-dimensions, which is of our interest in this paper, the bosonic part of the superconformal algebra is

$$\mathfrak{o}(2, 4) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{N}) . \quad (1.1)$$

Hence the four-dimensional superconformal group is identified with a supermatrix group, $SU(2, 2|\mathcal{N})$ [20, 21] or its complexification, $SL(4|\mathcal{N}; \mathbb{C})$ [22, 23]. Normally $\mathcal{N} \geq 5$ cases are excluded from the renormalization point of view, as theories with more than four supercharges must have spins higher than one such as graviton/gravitino and it is unlikely that supergravity theories are renormalizable. However, such a restriction on the value of $\mathcal{N}$ is not relevant to our work.

According to the conjecture [2–4], four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory with gauge group $SU(N)$ is dual to type IIB string theory on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ in the limit of small g_{YM} and large but fixed 't Hooft coupling, $g_{YM}^2 N$. In this limit, the string theory can be effectively described by tree level type IIB supergravity, while the field theory dual is strongly coupled. As the perturbative approach breaks down in the strongly coupled CFT side, to check the conjectured duality it is desirable to have non-perturbative understanding on super Yang-Mills theory. This motivates us to explore four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}$ -extended superconformal symmetry and correlation functions subject to the symmetry as done in the present paper.

In our previous work [21], six-dimensional $(\mathcal{N}, 0)$ superconformal symmetry was analyzed in terms of coordinate transformations on superspace and through dimensional reduction basic features of four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}$ -extended superconformal symmetry were obtained. In the present paper, in a similar fashion to [21, 24] but in a self-contained manner, we analyze four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}$ -extended superconformal symmetry on superspace. Our main results concern the general forms of superconformally invariant n -point functions for

quasi-primary superfields. In particular, as a byproduct, we obtain a selection rule for correlation functions of the component fields, $\psi^I(x)$, appearing in the power series expansions of quasi-primary superfields in Grassmann coordinates, θ and $\bar{\theta}$. The selection rule states that if the sum of the R -symmetry charge, κ_i , is not zero then the correlation function of the component fields vanishes

$$\langle \psi_1^{I_1}(x_1) \cdots \psi_n^{I_n}(x_n) \rangle = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \kappa_i \neq 0. \quad (1.2)$$

The existence of this kind of selection rule in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory was previously predicted by Intriligator within the context of AdS/CFT correspondence, as the dual IIB supergravity contains a corresponding $U(1)$ symmetry [1]. Therefore our results provide a supporting evidence for the Maldacena conjecture, as the selection rule here is derived by purely considering the symmetry on CFT side without referring to the string side.

The contents of the present paper are as follows. In section 2, we first define the four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}$ -extended superconformal group in terms of coordinate transformations on superspace as a generalization of the definition of ordinary conformal transformations. We then derive a superconformal Killing equation, which is a necessary and sufficient condition for a supercoordinate transformation to be superconformal. The general solutions are identified in terms of supertranslations, dilations, Lorentz transformations, R -symmetry transformations and special superconformal transformations, where R -symmetry is given by $U(\mathcal{N})$ as in eq.(1.1) and supertranslations and special superconformal transformations are dual to each other through superinversion map. The four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}$ -extended superconformal group is then identified with a supermatrix group, $SU(2, 2|\mathcal{N})$, having dimensions $(15 + \mathcal{N}^2|8\mathcal{N})$ as known. However, we point out that for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ case an equivalence relation must be imposed on the supermatrix group and so the four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal group is isomorphic to a quotient group of the supermatrix group.

In section 3, we obtain an explicit formula for the finite nonlinear superconformal transformations of the supercoordinates, z , parameterizing superspace and discuss several representations of the superconformal group. We also construct matrix or vector valued functions depending on two or three points in superspace which transform covariantly under superconformal transformations. For two points, z_1 and z_2 , we find a matrix, $I(z_1, z_2)$, which transforms covariantly like a product of two tensors at z_1 and z_2 . For three points, z_1, z_2, z_3 , we find ‘tangent’ vectors, Z_i , which transform homogeneously at z_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$. These are crucial variables for obtaining two-point, three-point and general n -point correlation functions later.

In section 4, we discuss the superconformal invariance of correlation functions for quasi-primary superfields and exhibit general forms of two-point, three-point and n -point func-

tions. Explicit formulae for two-point functions of superfields in various cases are given. In general, due to the invariance under supertranslations and special superconformal transformations, n -point functions reduce to one unspecified $(n - 2)$ -point function which must transform homogeneously under the rigid transformations - dilations, Lorentz transformations and R -symmetry transformations. We then identify all the superconformal invariants and obtain the general form of n -point functions of scalar superfields. As a byproduct, we derive the selection rule for correlation functions (1.2).

In section 5, superconformally covariant differential operators are discussed. The conditions for superfields, which are formed by the action of spinor derivatives on quasi-primary superfields, to remain quasi-primary are obtained. In general, the action of differential operator on quasi-primary fields generates an anomalous term under superconformal transformations. However, with a suitable choice of scale dimension and R -symmetry charge, we show that the anomalous term may be cancelled. We regard this analysis as a necessary step to write superconformally invariant actions on superspace, as the kinetic terms in such theories may consist of superfields formed by the action of spinor derivatives on quasi-primary superfields.

In the appendix, the explicit form of superconformal algebra and a method of solving the superconformal Killing equation are exhibited.

Recent review on the implications of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superconformal symmetry for four-dimensional quantum field theories is contained in [25] and some related works on superconformally invariant correlation functions can be found in [26–33]. $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superconformal symmetry on curved superspace is studied in [34–36] and conformally covariant differential operators in non-supersymmetric theories are discussed in [37, 38].

2 Superconformal Symmetry in Four-dimensions

In this section we first define the four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}$ -extended superconformal group on superspace and then discuss its superconformal Killing equation along with the solutions.

2.1 Four-dimensional Superspace

The four-dimensional supersymmetry algebra has the standard¹ form with $P_\mu = (H, -\mathbf{P})$

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q_{a\alpha}, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}^b\} &= 2\delta_a^b \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\mu P_\mu, \\ [P_\mu, P_\nu] &= [P_\mu, Q_{a\alpha}] = [P_\mu, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}^a] = \{Q_{a\alpha}, Q_{b\beta}\} = \{\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}^a, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\beta}}^b\} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where $1 \leq \alpha, \dot{\alpha} \leq 2$, $1 \leq a \leq \mathcal{N}$ and $Q_{a\alpha}$ satisfies

$$Q_{a\alpha}^\dagger = \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}^a. \quad (2.2)$$

$P_\mu, Q_{a\alpha}$ and $\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}^a$ generate a supergroup, G_T , with parameters, $z^M = (x^\mu, \theta^{a\alpha}, \bar{\theta}_{\dot{a}}^{\dot{\alpha}})$, which are coordinates on superspace. The general element of G_T is written in terms of these coordinates as

$$g(z) = e^{i(x \cdot P + \theta^a Q_a + \bar{Q}^a \bar{\theta}_a)}. \quad (2.3)$$

Corresponding to eq.(2.2) we may assume $\theta^{a\alpha}$ to satisfy

$$\theta^{a\alpha*} = \bar{\theta}_{\dot{a}}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \quad (2.4)$$

so that

$$g(z)^\dagger = g(z)^{-1} = g(-z). \quad (2.5)$$

The Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula with the supersymmetry algebra (2.1) gives

$$g(z_1)g(z_2) = g(z_3), \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$x_3^\mu = x_1^\mu + x_2^\mu + i\theta_1^a \sigma^\mu \bar{\theta}_{2a} - i\theta_2^a \sigma^\mu \bar{\theta}_{1a}, \quad \theta_3^a = \theta_1^a + \theta_2^a, \quad \bar{\theta}_{3a} = \bar{\theta}_{1a} + \bar{\theta}_{2a}. \quad (2.7)$$

Letting $z_1 \rightarrow -z_2$ we may get the supertranslation invariant one forms, $e^M = (e^\mu, d\theta^{a\alpha}, d\bar{\theta}_{\dot{a}}^{\dot{\alpha}})$, where

$$e^\mu(z) = dx^\mu + i d\theta^a \sigma^\mu \bar{\theta}_a - i \theta^a \sigma^\mu d\bar{\theta}_a. \quad (2.8)$$

The exterior derivative, d , on superspace is defined as

$$d \equiv dz^M \frac{\partial}{\partial z^M} = e^M D_M = e^\mu \partial_\mu + d\theta^{a\alpha} D_{a\alpha} - d\bar{\theta}_{\dot{a}}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^a, \quad (2.9)$$

¹See Appendix A for our notations and some useful equations.

where $D_M = (\partial_\mu, D_{a\alpha}, -\bar{D}_\alpha^a)$ are covariant derivatives

$$\partial_\mu = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}, \quad D_{a\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{a\alpha}} - i(\sigma^\mu \bar{\theta}_a)_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}, \quad \bar{D}_\alpha^a = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}_a^\alpha} + i(\theta^a \sigma^\mu)_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}, \quad (2.10)$$

satisfying the anti-commutator relations

$$\{D_{a\alpha}, \bar{D}_\alpha^b\} = 2i\delta_a^b \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\mu \partial_\mu. \quad (2.11)$$

Under an arbitrary superspace coordinate transformation, $z \longrightarrow z'$, e^M and D_M transform as

$$e^M(z') = e^N(z) \mathcal{R}_N^M(z), \quad D'_M = \mathcal{R}^{-1}{}_M{}^N(z) D_N, \quad (2.12)$$

so that the exterior derivative is left invariant

$$e^M(z) D_M = e^M(z') D'_M, \quad (2.13)$$

where $\mathcal{R}_M^N(z)$ is a $(4 + 4\mathcal{N}) \times (4 + 4\mathcal{N})$ supermatrix of the form

$$\mathcal{R}_M^N(z) = \begin{pmatrix} R_\mu^\nu(z) & \partial_\mu \theta^{b\beta} & \partial_\mu \bar{\theta}'_b{}^\beta \\ B_{a\alpha}^\mu(z) & D_{a\alpha} \theta^{b\beta} & D_{a\alpha} \bar{\theta}'_b{}^\beta \\ -\bar{B}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{a\mu}(z) & -\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^a \theta^{b\beta} & -\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^a \bar{\theta}'_b{}^\beta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.14)$$

with

$$R_\mu^\nu(z) = \frac{\partial x'^\nu}{\partial x^\mu} + i \frac{\partial \theta'^a}{\partial x^\mu} \sigma^\nu \bar{\theta}'_a - i \theta'^a \sigma^\nu \frac{\partial \bar{\theta}'_a}{\partial x^\mu}, \quad (2.15)$$

$$B_{a\alpha}^\mu(z) = D_{a\alpha} x'^\mu + i D_{a\alpha} \theta^{b\beta} \sigma^\mu \bar{\theta}'_b + i \theta^{b\beta} \sigma^\mu D_{a\alpha} \bar{\theta}'_b, \quad (2.16)$$

$$\bar{B}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{a\mu}(z) = \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^a x'^\mu + i \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^a \theta^{b\beta} \sigma^\mu \bar{\theta}'_b + i \theta^{b\beta} \sigma^\mu \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^a \bar{\theta}'_b = (B_{a\alpha}^\mu(z))^\dagger. \quad (2.17)$$

2.2 Superconformal Group & Killing Equation

The superconformal group is defined here as a group of superspace coordinate transformations, $z \xrightarrow{g} z'$, that preserve the infinitesimal supersymmetric interval length, $e^2 = \eta_{\mu\nu} e^\mu e^\nu$ up to a local scale factor, so that

$$e^2(z) \rightarrow e^2(z') = \Omega^2(z; g) e^2(z), \quad (2.18)$$

where $\Omega(z; g)$ is a local scale factor.

This requires $B_{a\alpha}^\mu(z) = \bar{B}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{a\mu}(z) = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} D_{a\alpha}x'^\mu + iD_{a\alpha}\theta'^b\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}'_b + i\theta'^b\sigma^\mu D_{a\alpha}\bar{\theta}'_b &= 0, \\ \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^ax'^\mu + i\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^a\theta'^b\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}'_b + i\theta'^b\sigma^\mu\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^a\bar{\theta}'_b &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

and

$$e^\mu(z') = e^\nu(z)R_\nu{}^\mu(z; g), \quad (2.20)$$

$$R_\mu{}^\lambda(z; g)R_\nu{}^\rho(z; g)\eta_{\lambda\rho} = \Omega^2(z; g)\eta_{\mu\nu}, \quad \det R(z; g) = \Omega^4(z; g). \quad (2.21)$$

Hence $\mathcal{R}_M{}^N$ in eq.(2.14) is of the form²

$$\mathcal{R}_M{}^N(z; g) = \begin{pmatrix} R_\mu{}^\nu(z; g) & \partial_\mu\theta'^{b\beta} & \partial_\mu\bar{\theta}'^{\dot{b}\dot{\beta}} \\ 0 & D_{a\alpha}\theta'^{b\beta} & D_{a\alpha}\bar{\theta}'^{\dot{b}\dot{\beta}} \\ 0 & -\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^a\theta'^{b\beta} & -\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^a\bar{\theta}'^{\dot{b}\dot{\beta}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.22)$$

$R_\mu{}^\nu(z; g)$ is a representation of the superconformal group. Under the successive superconformal transformations, $z \xrightarrow{g} z' \xrightarrow{g'} z''$ giving $z \xrightarrow{g''} z''$, we have

$$R(z; g)R(z'; g') = R(z; g''). \quad (2.23)$$

Infinitesimally $z' \simeq z + \delta z$, eq.(2.19) gives

$$D_{a\alpha}h^\mu = -2i(\sigma^\mu\bar{\lambda}_a)_\alpha, \quad \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^ah^\mu = 2i(\lambda^a\sigma^\mu)_{\dot{\alpha}}, \quad (2.24)$$

where we define

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^a &= \delta\theta^a, & \bar{\lambda}_a &= \delta\bar{\theta}_a, \\ h^\mu &= \delta x^\mu + i\delta\theta^a\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}_a - i\theta^a\sigma^\mu\delta\bar{\theta}_a. \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

Infinitesimally $R_\mu{}^\nu$ from eq.(2.15) is of the form

$$R_\mu{}^\nu \simeq \delta_\mu{}^\nu + \partial_\mu h^\nu, \quad (2.26)$$

so that the condition (2.21) reduces to the ordinary conformal Killing equation

$$\partial_\mu h_\nu + \partial_\nu h_\mu \propto \eta_{\mu\nu}. \quad (2.27)$$

²More explicit form of $\mathcal{R}_M{}^N$ is obtained later in eq.(3.49).

Eq.(2.27) follows from eq.(2.24). Using the anti-commutator relation for $D_{a\alpha}$ and $\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^b$ (2.11) we get from eq.(2.24)

$$\delta_a{}^b \partial_\nu h^\mu = \frac{1}{2} \left(D_{a\alpha} (\lambda^b \sigma^\mu \tilde{\sigma}_\nu)^\alpha - (\tilde{\sigma}_\nu \sigma^\mu \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^b \bar{\lambda}_a)^{\dot{\alpha}} \right), \quad (2.28)$$

and hence

$$\delta_a{}^b (\partial_\mu h_\nu + \partial_\nu h_\mu) = (D_{a\alpha} \lambda^{b\alpha} - \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^b \bar{\lambda}_a^{\dot{\alpha}}) \eta_{\mu\nu}, \quad (2.29)$$

which implies eq.(2.27). Thus eq.(2.24) is a necessary and sufficient condition for a supercoordinate transformation to be superconformal.

With the notation as in eq.(A.15) we write

$$h_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = h^\mu \sigma_{\mu\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \quad \tilde{h}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} = h^\mu \tilde{\sigma}_\mu^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}, \quad (2.30)$$

and using eq.(A.7), eq.(2.24) is equivalent to

$$D_{a(\alpha} h_{\beta)\dot{\beta}} = 0, \quad \bar{D}_{(\dot{\alpha}}^a h_{|\beta|\dot{\beta})} = 0, \quad (2.31)$$

or

$$D_{a\alpha} \tilde{h}^{\dot{\beta}\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_\alpha{}^\beta D_{a\gamma} \tilde{h}^{\dot{\beta}\gamma}, \quad \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^a \tilde{h}^{\dot{\beta}\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}}^a \tilde{h}^{\dot{\gamma}\beta}, \quad (2.32)$$

while $\lambda^{a\alpha}, \bar{\lambda}_a^{\dot{\alpha}}$ are given by

$$\lambda^{a\alpha} = -i \frac{1}{8} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^a \tilde{h}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}, \quad \bar{\lambda}_a^{\dot{\alpha}} = i \frac{1}{8} D_{a\alpha} \tilde{h}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}. \quad (2.33)$$

Eq.(2.31) may therefore be regarded as the fundamental superconformal Killing equation and its solutions give the generators of extended superconformal transformations in four-dimensions. The general solution is³

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}(z) = & \tilde{x}_- b \tilde{x}_+ - \tilde{x}_- (\tilde{w} - \frac{1}{2} \lambda + 4 \rho_a \theta^a) + (w + \frac{1}{2} \lambda - 4 \bar{\theta}_a \bar{\rho}^a) \tilde{x}_+ \\ & - 4i \bar{\theta}_a t^a_b \theta^b + 2\Omega \bar{\theta}_a \theta^a + 4i(\bar{\varepsilon}_a \theta^a - \bar{\theta}_a \varepsilon^a) + \tilde{a}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

where $a^\mu, b^\mu, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\Omega \in S^1$, $t \in \mathfrak{su}(\mathcal{N})$ and for $w_{\mu\nu} = -w_{\nu\mu}$ we define

$$w = \frac{1}{4} w_{\mu\nu} \tilde{\sigma}^\mu \sigma^\nu, \quad \tilde{w} = \frac{1}{4} w_{\mu\nu} \sigma^\mu \tilde{\sigma}^\nu. \quad (2.35)$$

For later use it is worth to note

$$\epsilon \tilde{w}^t \epsilon^{-1} = -\tilde{w}, \quad \bar{\epsilon}^{-1} w^t \bar{\epsilon} = -w, \quad \tilde{w}^\dagger = -w. \quad (2.36)$$

³A method of obtaining the solution (2.34) is demonstrated in Appendix B.

Eq.(2.34) also gives

$$\lambda^a = \varepsilon^a + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + i\Omega)\theta^a - \theta^a \tilde{w} + t_b^a \theta^b + \theta^a b \tilde{x}_+ - i\bar{\rho}^a \tilde{x}_+ - 4(\theta^a \rho_b) \theta^b, \quad (2.37)$$

and

$$\delta \tilde{x}_+ = \tilde{x}_+ b \tilde{x}_+ - 4\tilde{x}_+ \rho_a \theta^a + \lambda \tilde{x}_+ + w \tilde{x}_+ - \tilde{x}_+ \tilde{w} + 4i\bar{\varepsilon}_a \theta^a + \tilde{a}. \quad (2.38)$$

Note that $\delta \tilde{x}_+, \lambda^a$ are functions of $\tilde{x}_+, \theta^a$ only, which can be also directly shown from eq.(2.24). In fact, the superconformal group can be obtained alternatively by imposing the super-diffeomorphisms to leave the chiral subspaces of superspace invariant. The chiral structures are given by

$$z_+^{M+} = (x_+^\mu, \theta^{a\alpha}), \quad z_-^{M-} = (x_-^\mu, \bar{\theta}_\alpha^a). \quad (2.39)$$

In this approach, one needs to solve a reality condition [39, 40]

$$\delta \tilde{x}_+(\tilde{x}_+, \theta) - \delta \tilde{x}_-(\tilde{x}_-, \bar{\theta}) = 4i\bar{\lambda}_a(\tilde{x}_-, \bar{\theta})\theta^a + 4i\bar{\theta}_a \lambda^a(\tilde{x}_+, \theta). \quad (2.40)$$

2.3 Extended Superconformal Transformations

In summary, the generators of extended superconformal transformations in four-dimensions acting on the four-dimensional superspace, $\mathbb{R}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$, with coordinates, $z^M = (x^\mu, \theta^a, \bar{\theta}_a)$, can be classified as

1. Supertranslations, $a, \varepsilon, \bar{\varepsilon}$

$$\delta x^\mu = a^\mu + i\varepsilon^a \sigma^\mu \bar{\theta}_a - i\theta^a \sigma^\mu \bar{\varepsilon}_a, \quad \delta \theta^a = \varepsilon^a, \quad \delta \bar{\theta}_a = \bar{\varepsilon}_a. \quad (2.41)$$

This is consistent with eq.(2.7).

2. Dilations, λ

$$\delta x^\mu = \lambda x^\mu, \quad \delta \theta^a = \frac{1}{2}\lambda \theta^a, \quad \delta \bar{\theta}_a = \frac{1}{2}\lambda \bar{\theta}_a. \quad (2.42)$$

3. Lorentz transformations, with $w, \tilde{w}$ defined in eq.(2.35)

$$\delta x^\mu = w^\mu_\nu x^\nu, \quad \delta \theta^a = -\theta^a \tilde{w}, \quad \delta \bar{\theta}_a = w \bar{\theta}_a. \quad (2.43)$$

4. R -symmetry transformations, $U(\mathcal{N})$, of dimension $\mathcal{N}^2$, t, Ω

$$\delta x^\mu = 0, \quad \delta \theta^a = t_b^a \theta^b + i\frac{1}{2}\Omega \theta^a, \quad \delta \bar{\theta}_a = -\bar{\theta}_b t_a^b - i\frac{1}{2}\Omega \bar{\theta}_a, \quad (2.44)$$

where the $\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N}$ matrix, t , is a $SU(\mathcal{N})$ generator, i.e. $t^\dagger = -t$, $t_a^a = 0$ and $\Omega \in S^1$.

5. Special superconformal transformations, $b, \rho, \bar{\rho}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta x^\mu &= 2x \cdot b x^\mu - x^2 b^\mu + \theta^a \sigma^\mu \tilde{x}_+ \rho_a + \bar{\rho}^a \tilde{x}_- \sigma^\mu \bar{\theta}_a + 2\theta^a b \bar{\theta}_b \theta^b \sigma^\mu \bar{\theta}_a, \\
\delta \theta^a &= \theta^a b \tilde{x}_+ - i \bar{\rho}^a \tilde{x}_+ - 4(\theta^a \rho_b) \theta^b, \\
\delta \bar{\theta}_a &= \tilde{x}_- b \bar{\theta}_a + i \tilde{x}_- \rho_a - 4\bar{\theta}_b (\bar{\rho}^b \bar{\theta}_a).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.45}$$

2.4 Superinversion

In four-dimensions we define superinversion, $z^M \xrightarrow{i_s} z'^M = (x'^\mu, \theta'^{a\alpha}, \bar{\theta}'^{\dot{a}\dot{\alpha}}) \in \mathbb{R}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$, by

$$x'_\pm{}^\mu = -\frac{x_\mp{}^\mu}{x_\mp^2}, \quad \theta'^{at} = -i \frac{1}{x_-^2} \epsilon^{-1} x_-^t \bar{\theta}_b \zeta^{ba}, \quad \bar{\theta}'_a = i \frac{1}{x_+^2} \bar{\epsilon}^{-1} x_+^t \theta^{bt} \bar{\zeta}_{ba}, \tag{2.46}$$

where $\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N}$ matrices, $\zeta^{ab}, \bar{\zeta}_{ab}$ satisfy

$$\zeta^{ab} \bar{\zeta}_{bc} = \delta_c^a, \quad \zeta^{ab} = \zeta^{ba}, \quad \bar{\zeta}_{ab} = (\zeta^{ab})^*. \tag{2.47}$$

Eq.(2.46) may be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta'^a &= i \frac{1}{x_-^2} \tilde{\theta}^a \tilde{x}_-, & \tilde{\theta}^a &= -\zeta^{ba} \bar{\theta}_b^t \bar{\epsilon}, \\
\bar{\theta}'_a &= -i \frac{1}{x_+^2} \tilde{x}_+ \tilde{\theta}_a, & \tilde{\theta}_a &= \epsilon \theta^{bt} \bar{\zeta}_{ba}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.48}$$

It is easy to verify that superinversion is idempotent

$$i_s^2 = 1. \tag{2.49}$$

Using

$$\tilde{e} = e^\mu \tilde{\sigma}_\mu = d\tilde{x}_+ - 4i \bar{\theta}_a d\theta^a, \quad e = e^\mu \sigma_\mu = dx_+ + 4i d\tilde{\theta}_a \tilde{\theta}^a, \tag{2.50}$$

we get under superinversion

$$\tilde{e}(z') = x_+^{-1} e(z) x_-^{-1}, \quad e(z') = \tilde{x}_-^{-1} \tilde{e}(z) \tilde{x}_+^{-1}, \tag{2.51}$$

and hence

$$e^2(z') = \Omega^2(z; i_s) e^2(z), \quad \Omega(z; i_s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_+^2 x_-^2}}. \tag{2.52}$$

Eq.(2.51) can be rewritten as

$$e^\mu(z') = e^\nu(z) R_\nu{}^\mu(z; i_s), \quad (2.53)$$

$$R_\nu{}^\mu(z; i_s) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\tilde{x}_-^{-1} \tilde{\sigma}_\nu \tilde{x}_+^{-1} \tilde{\sigma}^\mu) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(x_+^{-1} \sigma_\nu x_-^{-1} \sigma^\mu),$$

or using eq.(A.6b)

$$R^{\mu\nu}(z; i_s) = \frac{1}{x_+^2 x_-^2} (x_+^\mu x_-^\nu + x_-^\mu x_+^\nu - \eta^{\mu\nu} x_+ \cdot x_- + i \epsilon^{\mu\nu}{}_{\lambda\rho} x_-^\lambda x_+^\rho). \quad (2.54)$$

If we consider a transformation, $z \xrightarrow{i_s \circ g \circ i_s} z'$, where g is a four-dimensional superconformal transformation, then we get from eqs.(2.37, 2.38)

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \tilde{x}'_+ &= \tilde{x}'_+ a \tilde{x}'_+ - 4 \tilde{x}'_+ \tilde{\varepsilon}_a \theta'^a - \lambda \tilde{x}'_+ + w \tilde{x}'_+ - \tilde{x}'_+ \tilde{w} + 4i \tilde{\rho}_a \theta'^a + \tilde{b}, \\ \delta \theta'^a &= \tilde{\rho}^a - \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + i\Omega) \theta'^a - \theta'^a \tilde{w} - (\zeta t^t \bar{\zeta})^a{}_b \theta'^b + \theta'^a a \tilde{x}'_+ - i \tilde{\varepsilon}^a \tilde{x}'_+ - 4(\theta'^a \tilde{\varepsilon}_b) \theta'^b, \end{aligned} \quad (2.55)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}^a &= -\zeta^{ba} \rho_b^t \epsilon^{-1}, & \tilde{\rho}_a &= \bar{\epsilon}^{-1} \tilde{\rho}^{bt} \bar{\zeta}_{ba}, \\ \tilde{\varepsilon}_a &= \epsilon \varepsilon^{bt} \bar{\zeta}_{ba}, & \tilde{\varepsilon}^a &= -\zeta^{ba} \bar{\varepsilon}_b^t \bar{\epsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.56)$$

Hence, under superinversion, the superconformal transformations are related by

$$\mathcal{K} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} a^\mu \\ b^\mu \\ \varepsilon^a \\ \rho_a \\ \lambda \\ \Omega \\ w^\mu{}_\nu \\ t^a{}_b \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} b^\mu \\ a^\mu \\ \tilde{\rho}^a \\ \tilde{\varepsilon}_a \\ -\lambda \\ -\Omega \\ w^\mu{}_\nu \\ -(\zeta t^t \bar{\zeta})^a{}_b \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.57)$$

In particular, special superconformal transformations (2.45) can be obtained by

$$z \xrightarrow{i_s \circ (b, \tilde{\rho}) \circ i_s} z' \equiv z_s(z; u), \quad (2.58)$$

where $(b, \tilde{\rho})$ is a supertranslation and $u^M = (b^\mu, \tilde{\rho}^{a\alpha}, \tilde{\rho}^{\dot{\alpha}}_a)$.

2.5 Superconformal Algebra

The generator of infinitesimal superconformal transformations, $\mathcal{L}$, is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = h^\mu \partial_\mu + \lambda^{a\alpha} D_{a\alpha} - \bar{\lambda}_a^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^a. \quad (2.59)$$

If we write the commutator of two generators, $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$, as

$$[\mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_1] = \mathcal{L}_3 = h_3^\mu \partial_\mu + \lambda_3^{a\alpha} D_{a\alpha} - \bar{\lambda}_{3a}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^a, \quad (2.60)$$

then $h_3^\mu, \lambda_3^{a\alpha}, \bar{\lambda}_{3a}^{\dot{\alpha}}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} h_3^\mu &= h_2^\nu \partial_\nu h_1^\mu + 2i\lambda_1^a \sigma^\mu \bar{\lambda}_{2a} - (1 \leftrightarrow 2), \\ \lambda_3^{a\alpha} &= h_2^\mu \partial_\mu \lambda_1^{a\alpha} + \lambda_2^{b\beta} D_{b\beta} \lambda_1^{a\alpha} - (1 \leftrightarrow 2), \\ \bar{\lambda}_{3a}^{\dot{\alpha}} &= h_2^\mu \partial_\mu \bar{\lambda}_{1a}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \bar{\lambda}_{2b}^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}^b \bar{\lambda}_{1a}^{\dot{\alpha}} - (1 \leftrightarrow 2), \end{aligned} \quad (2.61)$$

and $h_3^\mu, \lambda_3^{a\alpha}, \bar{\lambda}_{3a}^{\dot{\alpha}}$ satisfy eq.(2.24) verifying the closure of the Lie algebra.

Explicitly with eqs.(2.34,2.37) we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_3^\mu &= w_1^\mu a_2^\nu + \lambda_1 a_2^\mu + 2i\varepsilon_1^a \sigma^\mu \bar{\varepsilon}_{2a} - (1 \leftrightarrow 2), \\ \varepsilon_3^a &= -\varepsilon_2^a \tilde{w}_1 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 \varepsilon_2^a - i\bar{\rho}_1^a \tilde{a}_2 + t_{1b}^a \varepsilon_2^b + i\frac{1}{2} \Omega_1 \varepsilon_2^a - (1 \leftrightarrow 2), \\ \bar{\varepsilon}_{3a} &= w_1 \bar{\varepsilon}_{2a} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 \bar{\varepsilon}_{2a} + i\tilde{a}_2 \rho_{1a} - \bar{\varepsilon}_{2b} t_{1a}^b - i\frac{1}{2} \Omega_1 \bar{\varepsilon}_{2a} - (1 \leftrightarrow 2), \\ \lambda_3 &= 2a_2 \cdot b_1 + 2(\bar{\rho}_1^a \bar{\varepsilon}_{2a} + \varepsilon_2^a \rho_{1a}) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2), \\ w_3^{\mu\nu} &= w_1^\mu w_2^{\lambda\nu} + 2(a_2^\mu b_1^\nu - a_2^\nu b_1^\mu) + 2(\varepsilon_2^a \sigma^{[\mu} \tilde{\sigma}^{\nu]} \rho_{1a} - \bar{\rho}_1^a \tilde{\sigma}^{[\mu} \sigma^{\nu]} \bar{\varepsilon}_{2a}) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2), \\ b_3^\mu &= w_1^\mu b_2^\nu - \lambda_1 b_2^\mu + 2i\bar{\rho}_1^a \tilde{\sigma}^\mu \rho_{2a} - (1 \leftrightarrow 2), \\ \rho_{3a} &= \tilde{w}_1 \rho_{2a} - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 \rho_{2a} + i b_2 \bar{\varepsilon}_{1a} - \rho_{2b} t_{1a}^b - i\frac{1}{2} \Omega_1 \rho_{2a} - (1 \leftrightarrow 2), \\ \bar{\rho}_3^a &= -\bar{\rho}_2^a w_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 \bar{\rho}_2^a - i\varepsilon_1^a b_2 + t_{1b}^a \bar{\rho}_2^b + i\frac{1}{2} \Omega_1 \bar{\rho}_2^a - (1 \leftrightarrow 2), \\ t_{3b}^a &= (t_1 t_2)^a_b + 4(\varepsilon_1^a \rho_{2b} - \bar{\rho}_2^a \bar{\varepsilon}_{1b}) - \frac{4}{N}(\varepsilon_1^c \rho_{2c} - \bar{\rho}_2^c \bar{\varepsilon}_{1c}) \delta^a_b - (1 \leftrightarrow 2), \\ \Omega_3 &= 2i(\frac{4}{N} - 1)(\varepsilon_2^a \rho_{1a} - \bar{\rho}_1^a \bar{\varepsilon}_{2a}) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.62)$$

From eq.(2.62) we can read off the explicit forms of four-dimensional superconformal algebra as exhibited in Appendix C.

Now, we consider $\mathcal{N} \neq 4$ case and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ case separately. For $\mathcal{N} \neq 4$ case, if we define a $(4 + \mathcal{N}) \times (4 + \mathcal{N})$ supermatrix, M , as

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} w + \frac{1}{2}\lambda + i\frac{1}{2}\psi & -i\tilde{a} & 2\bar{\varepsilon}_b \\ -ib & \tilde{w} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda + i\frac{1}{2}\psi & 2\rho_b \\ 2\bar{\rho}^a & 2\varepsilon^a & t^a_b + i\frac{2}{\mathcal{N}}\psi\delta^a_b \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.63)$$

where

$$\psi = \frac{\Omega}{\frac{4}{\mathcal{N}} - 1}, \quad (2.64)$$

then the relation above (2.62) agrees with the matrix commutator

$$[M_1, M_2] = M_3. \quad (2.65)$$

This can be verified using eqs.(A.7, A.11, A.12).

In general, for $\mathcal{N} \neq 4$, M can be defined as a $(4, \mathcal{N})$ supermatrix subject to

$$\text{str } M = 0, \quad (2.66)$$

and a reality condition

$$BMB^{-1} = -M^\dagger, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.67)$$

Supermatrix of the form (2.63) is the general solution of these two equations.

The 4×4 matrix appearing in M ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} w + \frac{1}{2}\lambda & -i\tilde{a} \\ -ib & \tilde{w} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.68)$$

corresponds to a generator of $O(2, 4) \cong SU(2, 2)$ as demonstrated in Appendix D. Thus, the $\mathcal{N} \neq 4$ superconformal group in four-dimensions may be identified with the supermatrix group generated by supermatrices of the form M (2.63), which is $SU(2, 2|\mathcal{N}) \equiv G_s$ having dimensions $(15 + \mathcal{N}^2|8\mathcal{N})$.

When $\mathcal{N} = 4$, similar analysis is also possible with a subtle modification. In this case, M is a $(4, 4)$ supermatrix satisfying the reality condition (2.67) and, instead of eq.(2.66),

$$\text{str } M = -2i\Omega. \quad (2.69)$$

Such a supermatrix, M , is of the general form

$$M = \mathcal{M} + i\frac{1}{2}\Omega \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta^a_b \end{pmatrix} + i\frac{1}{2}\psi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta^a_b \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.70)$$

where $\mathcal{M}$ is of the form (2.63) with $\psi = 0$, and ψ in eq.(2.70) is just an arbitrary real bosonic variable. Essentially we add the Ω term to eq.(2.63) to get eq.(2.70). Same as $\mathcal{N} \neq 4$ case, the matrix commutator of M_1 and M_2 reproduces eq.(2.62) as in eq.(2.65), though ψ is arbitrary.

As the variable, ψ , is auxiliary, one might be tempted to fix its value, or more generally let it be a function of the parameters of superconformal transformations, a^μ , b^μ , Ω , $\dots$ and try to determine the function. However, this is not possible. The commutator of $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ includes ψ type term

$$[\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2] = \mathcal{M}_3 + i\frac{1}{2}\psi_3 1, \quad (2.71)$$

$$\psi_3 = 2i(\varepsilon_2^a \rho_{1a} - \varepsilon_1^a \rho_{2a} + \bar{\rho}_2^a \bar{\varepsilon}_{1a} - \bar{\rho}_1^a \bar{\varepsilon}_{2a}),$$

and ψ_3 can not be expressed in terms of the parameters, a_3^μ , b_3^μ , Ω_3 , $\dots$, appearing in eq.(2.62). Hence it is not possible to put ψ as a function of the superconformal transformation parameters.⁴ Therefore four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal algebra is represented by $(4, 4)$ supermatrices, M , satisfying the reality condition (2.67) with an equivalence relation, $\sim$, imposed

$$M_1 \sim M_2 \quad \text{if } M_1 - M_2 = i\psi 1 \quad \text{for some } \psi \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.72)$$

We note that an extra condition, $\text{str } M = 0$, defines an invariant subalgebra of the whole four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal algebra. This invariant subalgebra forms a simple Lie superalgebra. In the literature the four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal algebra is often identified with this simple Lie superalgebra, the R -symmetry of which is $\text{su}(4)$ rather than $\text{u}(4)$ [18], as the Ω term in eq.(2.70) is neglected. However, we emphasize here that the whole $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal algebra may contain a $\text{u}(1)$ factor which has non-trivial

⁴An alternative approach may be taken as in [41], where a modified supermatrix commutator is introduced for $\text{SL}(m|m)$.

commutator relations with other generators as seen in eq.(2.62) or eq.(C.9).

The $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal group in four-dimensions, G_s , is now identified with a quotient group of the supermatrix group, as it is isomorphic to the supermatrix group generated by supermatrices of the form M (2.70) with an equivalence relation imposed on the supermatrix group element, G , from eq.(2.72)

$$G_1 \sim G_2 \quad \text{if } G_1^{-1}G_2 = e^{i\psi} 1 \quad \text{for some } \psi \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.73)$$

We also note that the four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal group has dimensions (31|32) and is isomorphic to a semi-direct product of $U(1)$ and a simple Lie supergroup. Therefore, by breaking the $U(1)$ symmetry, the four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal group can be reduced to the simple Lie subgroup having dimensions (30|32).

3 Coset Realization of Transformations

In this section, we first obtain an explicit formula for the finite nonlinear superconformal transformations of the supercoordinates and discuss several representations of the superconformal group. We then construct matrix (vector) valued functions depending on two (three) points in superspace which transform covariantly under superconformal transformations. These are crucial variables for obtaining two-point, three-point and general n -point correlation functions later.

3.1 Superspace as a Coset

To obtain an explicit formula for the finite nonlinear superconformal transformations, we first identify the superspace, $\mathbb{R}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$, as a coset, G_s/G_0 , where $G_0 \subset G_s$ is the subgroup generated by matrices, M_0 , of the form (2.63) with $a^\mu = 0$, $\varepsilon^a = 0$ and depending on parameters b^μ , ρ_a , $\bar{\rho}^a$, λ , Ω , $w_{\mu\nu}$, t^a_b . The group of supertranslations, G_T , parameterized by coordinates, $z^M \in \mathbb{R}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$, has been defined by general elements as in eq.(2.3), with the group property given by eqs.(2.6, 2.7). Now we may represent it by supermatrices⁵

$$G_T(z) = \exp \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\tilde{x} & 2\bar{\theta}_b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\theta^a & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i\tilde{x}_+ & 2\bar{\theta}_b \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\theta^a & \delta^a_b \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.1)$$

Note that $G_T(z)^{-1} = G_T(-z)$.

In general an element of G_s can be uniquely decomposed as $G_T G_0$. Thus for any element

⁵The subscript, T , denotes supertranslations.

$G(g) \in G_s$ we may define a superconformal transformation, $z \xrightarrow{g} z'$, and an associated element $G_0(z; g) \in G_0$ by

$$G(g)^{-1}G_T(z)G_0(z; g) = G_T(z'). \quad (3.2)$$

If $G(g) \in G_T$ then clearly $G_0(z; g) = 1$.

Infinitesimally eq.(3.2) becomes

$$\delta G_T(z) = MG_T(z) - G_T(z)\hat{M}_0(z), \quad (3.3)$$

where M is given by eq.(2.63) or eq.(2.70) and $\hat{M}_0(z)$, the generator of G_0 , has the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{M}_0(z) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \hat{w}(z) + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\lambda}(z) + i\frac{1}{2}\hat{\psi}(z) & 0 & 0 \\ -ib & \tilde{w}(z) - \frac{1}{2}\hat{\lambda}(z) + i\frac{1}{2}\hat{\psi}(z) & 2\hat{\rho}_b(z) \\ 2\bar{\rho}^a(z) & 0 & \hat{t}^a_b(z) + i\frac{2}{N}(\hat{\psi}(z) + \delta^4_{\mathcal{N}}\Omega)\delta^a_b \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

The components depending on z are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{w}(z) &= w - 4\bar{\theta}_a\bar{\rho}^a + \tilde{x}_-b + \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(4\bar{\theta}_a\bar{\rho}^a - \tilde{x}_-b)1, \\ \tilde{w}(z) &= \tilde{w} + 4\rho_a\theta^a - b\tilde{x}_+ - \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(4\rho_a\theta^a - b\tilde{x}_+)1 = -\hat{w}(z)^\dagger, \\ \hat{\lambda}(z) &= \lambda + 2b \cdot x + 2(\theta^a\rho_a + \bar{\rho}^a\bar{\theta}_a) = \frac{1}{4}\partial_\mu h^\mu(z), \\ \hat{\psi}(z) &= \psi + 2\theta^a b\bar{\theta}_a + 2i(\theta^a\rho_a - \bar{\rho}^a\bar{\theta}_a), \\ \hat{t}^a_b(z) &= t^a_b + 4i\theta^a b\bar{\theta}_b + 4(\bar{\rho}^a\bar{\theta}_b - \theta^a\rho_b) - \frac{1}{N}(4i\theta^c b\bar{\theta}_c + 4\bar{\rho}^c\bar{\theta}_c - 4\theta^c\rho_c)\delta^a_b, \\ \hat{\rho}_a(z) &= \rho_a - ib\bar{\theta}_a = -i\frac{1}{4}\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\bar{\lambda}_a(z), \\ \bar{\rho}^a(z) &= \bar{\rho}^a + i\theta^a b = \hat{\rho}_a(z)^\dagger. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Writing $\delta G_T(z) = \mathcal{L}G_T(z)$ we may verify that $\mathcal{L}$ is identical with eq.(2.59).

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{w}(z), \tilde{w}(z) &\text{ can be also written as } \hat{w}(z) = \frac{1}{4}\hat{w}_{\mu\nu}(z)\tilde{\sigma}^\mu\sigma^\nu, \tilde{w}(z) = \frac{1}{4}\hat{w}_{\mu\nu}(z)\sigma^\mu\tilde{\sigma}^\nu, \text{ with} \\ \hat{w}_{\mu\nu}(z) &= w_{\mu\nu} + 4x_{[\mu}b_{\nu]} + \theta^a\sigma_{[\mu}\tilde{\sigma}_{\nu]}(2\rho_a - ib\bar{\theta}_a) - (2\bar{\rho}_a + i\theta^a b)\tilde{\sigma}_{[\mu}\sigma_{\nu]}\bar{\theta}_a = -\partial_{[\mu}h_{\nu]}(z). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

The definitions (3.5) can be summarized by

$$D_{b\beta}\lambda^{a\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}\delta_b^a\delta_\beta^\alpha(\hat{\lambda}(z) + i\hat{\Omega}(z)) - \delta_b^a\tilde{w}_\beta^\alpha(z) + \delta_\beta^\alpha\hat{t}_b^a(z), \quad (3.7)$$

and they give

$$[D_{a\alpha}, \mathcal{L}] = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\lambda}(z) + i\hat{\Omega}(z))D_{a\alpha} - \tilde{w}_\alpha^\beta(z)D_{a\beta} + \hat{t}_a^b(z)D_{b\alpha}, \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$\hat{\Omega}(z) = \left(\frac{4}{\mathcal{N}} - 1\right)\hat{\psi}(z) + \delta^4_{\mathcal{N}}\Omega. \quad (3.9)$$

For later use we note

$$\begin{aligned} D_{a\alpha}\hat{w}_{\mu\nu}(z) &= 2(\sigma_{[\mu}\tilde{\sigma}_{\nu]})_\alpha^\beta\hat{\rho}_{a\beta}(z), \\ D_{a\alpha}\hat{\lambda}(z) &= 2\hat{\rho}_{a\alpha}(z), \\ D_{a\alpha}\hat{\psi}(z) &= 2i\hat{\rho}_{a\alpha}(z), \\ D_{a\alpha}\hat{t}_c^b(z) &= -4\delta_a^b\hat{\rho}_{c\alpha}(z) + \frac{4}{\mathcal{N}}\delta^b_c\hat{\rho}_{a\alpha}(z). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

The above analysis can be simplified by reducing $G_0(z; g)$. To achieve this we let

$$Z_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.11)$$

and then

$$M_0Z_0 = Z_0H_0, \quad H_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{w} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda + i\frac{1}{2}\psi & 2\rho_b \\ 0 & t^a_b + i\frac{2}{\mathcal{N}}(\psi + \delta^4_{\mathcal{N}}\Omega)\delta^a_b \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.12)$$

Now if we define

$$Z(z) \equiv G_T(z)Z_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -i\tilde{x}_+ & 2\bar{\theta}_b \\ 1 & 0 \\ 2\theta^a & \delta^a_b \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.13)$$

then $Z(z)$ transforms under infinitesimal superconformal transformations as

$$\delta Z(z) = \mathcal{L}Z(z) = MZ(z) - Z(z)H(z), \quad (3.14)$$

where $H(z)$ is given by

$$\hat{M}_0(z)Z_0 = Z_0H(z), \quad H(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{w}(z) - \frac{1}{2}\hat{\lambda}(z) + i\frac{1}{2}\hat{\psi}(z) & 2\hat{\rho}_b(z) \\ 0 & \hat{t}_b^a(z) + i\frac{2}{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{\psi}(z) + \delta^4_{\mathcal{N}}\Omega)\delta^a_b \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.15)$$

From eqs.(2.60, 2.65) considering

$$[\mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_1]Z(z) = \mathcal{L}_3Z(z), \quad (3.16)$$

we get

$$H_3(z) = \mathcal{L}_2H_1(z) - \mathcal{L}_1H_2(z) + [H_1(z), H_2(z)], \quad (3.17)$$

which gives separate equations for $\tilde{w}$, $\hat{\lambda}$, $\hat{\psi}$, $\hat{\rho}_a$ and $\hat{t}_b^a$, thus $\hat{\lambda}_3 = \mathcal{L}_2\hat{\lambda}_1 - \mathcal{L}_1\hat{\lambda}_2$, and so on.

As a conjugate of $Z(z)$ we define $\bar{Z}(z)$ by

$$\bar{Z}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} Z(z)^\dagger B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i\tilde{x}_- & -2\bar{\theta}_b \\ 0 & -2\theta^a & \delta_b^a \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.18)$$

This satisfies

$$\bar{Z}(z) = \bar{Z}(0)G_T(z)^{-1}, \quad (3.19)$$

and corresponding to eq.(3.14) we have

$$\delta\bar{Z}(z) = \mathcal{L}\bar{Z}(z) = \bar{H}(z)\bar{Z}(z) - \bar{Z}(z)M, \quad (3.20)$$

where

$$\bar{H}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{w}(z) + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\lambda}(z) + i\frac{1}{2}\hat{\psi}(z) & 0 \\ 2\bar{\rho}^a(z) & \hat{t}_b^a(z) + i\frac{2}{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{\psi}(z) + \delta^4_{\mathcal{N}}\Omega)\delta_b^a \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.21)$$

3.2 Finite Transformations

Finite superconformal transformations can be obtained by exponentiation of infinitesimal transformations. To obtain a superconformal transformation, $z \xrightarrow{g} z'$, we therefore solve the differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}z_t^M = \mathcal{L}^M(z_t), \quad z_0 = z, \quad z_1 = z', \quad (3.22)$$

where, with $\mathcal{L}$ given in eq.(2.59), $\mathcal{L}^M(z)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^M(z)\partial_M. \quad (3.23)$$

From eq.(3.14) we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}Z(z_t) = MZ(z_t) - Z(z_t)H(z_t), \quad (3.24)$$

which integrates to

$$Z(z_t) = e^{tM}Z(z)K(z, t), \quad (3.25)$$

where $K(z, t)$ satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt}K(z, t) = -K(z, t)H(z_t), \quad K(z, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.26)$$

Hence for $t = 1$ with $K(z, 1) \equiv K(z; g)$ the superconformal transformation, $z \xrightarrow{g} z'$, from eq.(3.25) becomes

$$Z(z') = G(g)^{-1}Z(z)K(z; g), \quad G(g)^{-1} = e^M. \quad (3.27)$$

$G_0(z; g)$ in eq.(3.2) is related to $K(z; g)$ from eq.(3.27) by

$$G_0(z; g)Z_0 = Z_0K(z; g). \quad (3.28)$$

In general $K(z; g)$ is of the form

$$K(z; g) = \begin{pmatrix} L(z_+; g) & 2\Sigma_b(z; g) \\ 0 & u^a_b(z; g) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.29)$$

From

$$\tilde{w}(z) - \frac{1}{2}\hat{\lambda}(z) + i\frac{1}{2}\hat{\psi}(z) = \tilde{w} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda + i\frac{1}{2}\psi + 4\rho_a\theta^a - b\tilde{x}_+, \quad (3.30)$$

$L(z_+; g)$ is defined on chiral superspace, and since $L(z_+; g)$ is a 2×2 matrix, we have

$$L(z_+; g)\epsilon L(z_+; g)^t = \det L(z_+; g)\epsilon. \quad (3.31)$$

Infinitesimally this is consistent with eq.(2.36).

We decompose $L(z_+; g)$ as

$$\hat{L}(z_+; g) = \Omega_+(z_+; g)^{-\frac{1}{2}}L(z_+; g), \quad (3.32a)$$

$$\Omega_+(z_+; g) = \det L(z_+; g), \quad (3.32b)$$

where $\hat{L} \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, the 2×2 matrices with determinant one.

Since $\frac{d}{dt}u(z, t) = -u(z, t)(\hat{t}(z_t) + i\frac{2}{\mathcal{N}}\hat{\psi}(z_t) + i\frac{1}{2}\delta^4_{\mathcal{N}}\Omega)$, $u^\dagger = u^{-1}$ and hence $u \in \text{U}(\mathcal{N})$.
If we write

$$\hat{u}(z; g) = \frac{u(z; g)}{(\det u(z; g))^{\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}}}, \quad (3.33)$$

then $\hat{u} \in \text{SU}(\mathcal{N})$.

From eq.(3.27) $\bar{Z}$ transforms as

$$\bar{Z}(z') = \bar{K}(z; g) \bar{Z}(z) G(g), \quad (3.34)$$

where

$$\bar{K}(z; g) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} K(z; g)^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{L}(z_-; g) & 0 \\ -2\bar{\Sigma}(z; g) & u^{-1}(z; g) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.35)$$

$$\bar{L}(z_-; g) = L(z_+; g)^\dagger, \quad \bar{\Sigma}(z; g) = \Sigma(z; g)^\dagger.$$

In a similar fashion to eq.(3.32a) we write

$$\hat{\bar{L}}(z_-; g) = \Omega_-(z_-; g)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \bar{L}(z_-; g) = \hat{L}(z_+; g)^\dagger \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}), \quad (3.36a)$$

$$\Omega_-(z_-; g) = \det \bar{L}(z_-; g) = \Omega_+(z_+; g)^*. \quad (3.36b)$$

If we define for superinversion, $z \xrightarrow{i_s} z'$, (2.48)

$$G(i_s)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\epsilon} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\bar{\zeta}_{ab} \end{pmatrix}, \quad K(z; i_s) = \begin{pmatrix} i(\bar{\epsilon}\tilde{X}_+)^{-1} & 2i\tilde{X}_-^{-1}\bar{\theta}_c\zeta^{cb} \\ 0 & -v_c^a(z)\zeta^{cb} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.37)$$

an analogous formula to eq.(3.27) can be obtained

$$G(i_s)^{-1} Z(z) K(z; i_s) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ i\tilde{X}_-^{tt} & -2\theta'^{at} \\ 2\bar{\theta}_b^{tt} & \delta_b^a \end{pmatrix} = \bar{Z}(z')^t. \quad (3.38)$$

Similarly we have

$$\bar{K}(z; i_s) \bar{Z}(z) G(i_s) = Z(z')^t, \quad (3.39)$$

where

$$\bar{K}(z; i_s) = \begin{pmatrix} -i(\tilde{X}_-\epsilon)^{-1} & 0 \\ 2i\bar{\zeta}_{ac}\theta^c\tilde{X}_+^{-1} & -\bar{\zeta}_{ac}v^{-1c}_b(z) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.40)$$

For later use, we also define with eq.(3.9, 3.22)

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Upsilon(z, t) = i\hat{\Omega}(z_t) \Upsilon(z, t), \quad \Upsilon(z, 0) = 1, \quad (3.41)$$

$$\Upsilon(z; g) \equiv \Upsilon(z, 1),$$

and

$$\Omega(z; g) \equiv \sqrt{\Omega_+(z_+; g)\Omega_-(z_-; g)}. \quad (3.42)$$

Note that

$$\Omega(z; g)^* = \Omega(z; g), \quad \Upsilon(z; g)^* = \Upsilon(z; g)^{-1}. \quad (3.43)$$

Since

$$\text{sdet } G = \exp(\text{str } \ln G), \quad (3.44)$$

when $\mathcal{N} = 4$, $\Upsilon(z; g)$ is related to the superdeterminant of $G(g) \in \mathbf{G}_s$

$$\Upsilon(z; g) = e^{i\Omega} = \sqrt{\text{sdet } G(g)}. \quad (3.45)$$

If

$$\sigma^\nu R_\nu^\mu(z; g) = L(z_+; g)\sigma^\mu \bar{L}(z_-; g), \quad (3.46)$$

then $R_\nu^\mu(z; g)$ is identical to the definition (2.20), since infinitesimally

$$\hat{\lambda}(z)\sigma^\mu - \hat{w}(z)\sigma^\mu + \sigma^\mu \hat{w}(z) = \hat{\lambda}(z)\sigma^\mu - \sigma^\nu \hat{w}_\nu^\mu(z) = \sigma^\nu \partial_\nu h^\mu(z), \quad (3.47)$$

which agrees with eq.(2.26). Furthermore eq.(3.46) shows that the definition (2.21) of $\Omega(z; g)$ is consistent with eq.(3.42). We may normalize $R_\nu^\mu(z; g)$ as well

$$\hat{R}_\nu^\mu(z; g) = \Omega(z; g)^{-1} R_\nu^\mu(z; g) \in \text{SO}(1, 3) \cong \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})/\mathbb{Z}_2. \quad (3.48)$$

3.3 Representations

Based on the results in the previous subsection, it is easy to show that the matrix, $\mathcal{R}_M^N(z; g)$, given in eq.(2.22) is of the form

$$\mathcal{R}_M^N(z; g) = \begin{pmatrix} R_\mu^\nu(z; g) & i(\bar{\Sigma}^b(z; g)\tilde{\sigma}_\mu L(z_+; g))^\beta & -i(\bar{L}(z_-; g)\tilde{\sigma}_\mu \Sigma_b(z; g))^{\dot{\beta}} \\ 0 & (\Omega(z; g)\Upsilon(z; g))^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{L}_\alpha^\beta(z_+; g) \hat{u}^{-1b}_a(z; g) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \left(\frac{\Omega(z; g)}{\Upsilon(z; g)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{\bar{L}}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\beta}}(z_-; g) \hat{u}^a_b(z; g) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.49)$$

Since $\mathcal{R}_M^N(z; g)$ is a representation of the four dimensional N -extended superconformal group, each of the following also forms a representation of the group, though it is not a faithful representation

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(z; g) &\in \mathbb{D}, & \Upsilon(z; g) &\in \text{U}(1), & \hat{R}(z; g) &\in \text{SO}(1, 3), \\ \hat{L}(z_+; g) &\in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}), & \hat{\bar{L}}(z_-; g) &\in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}), & \hat{u}(z; g) &\in \text{SU}(\mathcal{N}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.50)$$

where D is the one dimensional group of dilations.

Under the successive superconformal transformations, $g'' : z \xrightarrow{g} z' \xrightarrow{g'} z''$, they satisfy

$$\Omega(z; g)\Omega(z'; g') = \Omega(z; g''), \quad \text{and so on.} \quad (3.51)$$

We note that when $\mathcal{N} \neq 4$, $\Omega_+(z_+; g)$ and $\Omega_-(z_-; g)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_+(z_+; g) &= \Omega(z; g)\Upsilon(z; g)^{\frac{\mathcal{N}}{\mathcal{N}-4}}, \\ \Omega_-(z_-; g) &= \Omega(z; g)\Upsilon(z; g)^{-\frac{\mathcal{N}}{\mathcal{N}-4}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.52)$$

Hence, they also form representations of the $\mathcal{N} \neq 4$ superconformal group. On the other hand, in the case of $\mathcal{N} = 4$, due to the arbitrariness of ψ in eq.(2.70) $L(z_+; g)$ and $L(z_-; g)$ do not form representations. They do so only if the equivalence relation (2.73) is imposed, but this will give just $\hat{L}(z_+; g)$, $\hat{L}(z_-; g)$ and $\Omega(z; g)$.

3.4 Functions of Two Points

In this subsection, we construct matrix valued functions depending on two points, z_1 and z_2 , in superspace which transform covariantly like a product of two tensors at z_1 and z_2 under superconformal transformations.

If $F(z)$ is defined for $z \in \mathbb{R}^{4|\mathcal{N}}$ by

$$F(z) = \bar{Z}(0)G_T(z)Z(0) = \begin{pmatrix} -i\tilde{x}_+ & 2\bar{\theta}_b \\ 2\theta^a & \delta_b^a \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.53)$$

then $F(z)$ satisfies

$$F(-z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} F(z)^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} i\tilde{x}_- & -2\bar{\theta}_b \\ -2\theta^a & \delta_b^a \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.54)$$

and the superdeterminant of $F(z)$ is given by

$$\text{sdet } F(z) = -x_-^2. \quad (3.55)$$

If we consider

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -2i\theta^a\tilde{x}_+^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} F(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2i\tilde{x}_+^{-1}\bar{\theta}_b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -i\tilde{x}_+ & 0 \\ 0 & v_b^a(-z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.56)$$

then this defines $v_b^a(z)$ as

$$v_b^a(z) = \delta_b^a + 4i \frac{1}{x_-^2} \theta^a x_- \bar{\theta}_b. \quad (3.57)$$

From eqs.(3.55, 3.56) it is evident that

$$\det v(z) = \frac{x_-^2}{x_+^2}. \quad (3.58)$$

It is useful to note

$$v_b^a(-z) = v^{-1a}_b(z) = v^\dagger{}^a_b(z) = \delta_b^a - 4i \frac{1}{x_+^2} \theta^a x_+ \bar{\theta}_b. \quad (3.59)$$

Now with the supersymmetric interval for $\mathbb{R}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$ superspace defined by

$$\begin{aligned} G_T(z_2)^{-1} G_T(z_1) &= G_T(z_{12}), & z_{12}^M &= (x_{12}^\mu, \theta_{12}^a, \bar{\theta}_{12a}) = -z_{21}^M, \\ x_{12}^\mu &= x_1^\mu - x_2^\mu + i\theta_1^a \sigma^\mu \bar{\theta}_{2a} - i\theta_2^a \sigma^\mu \bar{\theta}_{1a}, & \theta_{12}^a &= \theta_1^a - \theta_2^a, & \bar{\theta}_{12a} &= \bar{\theta}_{1a} - \bar{\theta}_{2a}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.60)$$

we may write

$$\bar{Z}(z_2) Z(z_1) = F(z_{12}) = \begin{pmatrix} i\tilde{x}_{21} & -2\bar{\theta}_{21b} \\ -2\theta_{21}^a & \delta_b^a \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.61)$$

and

$$\text{sdet } F(z_{12}) = -x_{12}^2, \quad \det v(z_{21}) = \frac{x_{21}^2}{x_{12}^2}, \quad (3.62)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} x_{21}^\mu &= x_{2-}^\mu - x_{1+}^\mu - 2i\theta_1^a \sigma^\mu \bar{\theta}_{2a} = x_{21}^\mu + i\theta_{21}^a \sigma^\mu \bar{\theta}_{21a} = (x_{21})_-^\mu, \\ x_{12}^\mu &= x_{1-}^\mu - x_{2+}^\mu - 2i\theta_2^a \sigma^\mu \bar{\theta}_{1a} = x_{12}^\mu + i\theta_{12}^a \sigma^\mu \bar{\theta}_{12a} = (x_{12})_-^\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (3.63)$$

From eqs.(3.27, 3.34) $F(z_{12})$ transforms as

$$F(z'_{12}) = \bar{K}(z_2; g) F(z_{12}) K(z_1; g). \quad (3.64)$$

In particular, with eqs.(3.29, 3.35), this gives transformation rules for $\tilde{x}'_{12}$ and $\tilde{x}'_{21}$

$$\tilde{x}'_{12} = \bar{L}(z_{1-}; g) \tilde{x}_{12} L(z_{2+}; g), \quad (3.65a)$$

$$\tilde{x}'_{21} = \bar{L}(z_{2-}; g) \tilde{x}_{21} L(z_{1+}; g), \quad (3.65b)$$

so that

$$x_{12}'^2 = \Omega_-(z_{1-}; g) \Omega_+(z_{2+}; g) x_{12}^2, \quad (3.66a)$$

$$x_{21}'^2 = \Omega_-(z_{2-}; g) \Omega_+(z_{1+}; g) x_{21}^2, \quad (3.66b)$$

and in particular

$$x_{12}'^2 x_{21}'^2 = \Omega(z_1; g)^2 \Omega(z_2; g)^2 x_{12}^2 x_{21}^2, \quad (3.67a)$$

$$\left(\frac{x_{12}'^2}{x_{21}'^2} \right)^{\frac{4}{N}-1} = \frac{\Upsilon(z_1; g)^2}{\Upsilon(z_2; g)^2} \left(\frac{x_{12}^2}{x_{21}^2} \right)^{\frac{4}{N}-1}. \quad (3.67b)$$

From eqs.(3.46, 3.65a) $\text{tr}(\sigma^\mu \tilde{x}_{12} \sigma^\nu \tilde{x}_{21})$ transforms covariantly as

$$\text{tr}(\sigma^\mu \tilde{x}_{12}' \sigma^\nu \tilde{x}_{21}') = \text{tr}(\sigma^\lambda \tilde{x}_{12} \sigma^\rho \tilde{x}_{21}) R_\lambda{}^\mu(z_1; g) R_\rho{}^\nu(z_2; g). \quad (3.68)$$

Since $v_b^a(z_{21})$ transforms infinitesimally as

$$\delta v(z_{21}) = \hat{t}(z_2) v(z_{21}) - v(z_{21}) \hat{t}(z_1) + i \frac{2}{N} (\hat{\psi}(z_2) - \hat{\psi}(z_1)) v(z_{21}), \quad (3.69)$$

finitely it transforms as

$$v(z_{21}') = u^{-1}(z_2; g) v(z_{21}) u(z_1; g). \quad (3.70)$$

From eqs.(3.38, 3.39) $F(z_{12})$ transforms under superinversion as

$$\bar{K}(z_2; i_s) F(z_{12}) K(z_1; i_s) = F(-z_{12}')^t, \quad (3.71)$$

which gives

$$\tilde{x}_{2-}^{-1} \tilde{x}_{21}' \tilde{x}_{1+}^{-1} = -x_{12}', \quad x_{12}'^2 = \frac{x_{21}^2}{x_{2-}^2 x_{1+}^2}, \quad (3.72)$$

and

$$\bar{\zeta} v^{-1}(z_2) v(z_{21}) v(z_1) \zeta = v(z_{21}')^t. \quad (3.73)$$

Eq.(3.72) shows that eq.(3.68) holds for superinversion as well

$$\text{tr}(\sigma^\mu \tilde{x}_{12}' \sigma^\nu \tilde{x}_{21}') = \text{tr}(\sigma^\lambda \tilde{x}_{12} \sigma^\rho \tilde{x}_{21}) R_\lambda{}^\mu(z_1; i_s) R_\rho{}^\nu(z_2; i_s). \quad (3.74)$$

3.5 Functions of Three Points

In this subsection, for three points, z_1, z_2, z_3 in superspace, we construct ‘tangent’ vectors, Z_i , which transform homogeneously at z_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$.

With $z_{21} \xrightarrow{i_s} (z_{21})'$, $z_{31} \xrightarrow{i_s} (z_{31})'$, we define $\mathbb{Z}_1^M = (X_1^\mu, \Theta_1^a, \bar{\Theta}_{1a}) \in \mathbb{R}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$ by

$$G_T((z_{31})')^{-1} G_T((z_{21})') = G_T(\mathbb{Z}_1). \quad (3.75)$$

Explicit expressions for $\mathbb{Z}_1^M$ can be obtained by calculating

$$\bar{Z}((z_{31})') Z((z_{21})') = F(\mathbb{Z}_1) = \begin{pmatrix} -i\tilde{X}_{1+} & 2\bar{\Theta}_{1b} \\ 2\Theta_1^a & \delta_b^a \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.76)$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{X}_{1+} &= -x_{\bar{1}3}^{-1} x_{23} x_{21}^{-1}, \\ \Theta_1^a &= i(\tilde{\theta}_{21}^a x_{21}^{-1} - \tilde{\theta}_{31}^a x_{31}^{-1}), \quad \bar{\Theta}_{1a} = i(x_{\bar{1}3}^{-1} \tilde{\theta}_{13a} - x_{\bar{1}2}^{-1} \tilde{\theta}_{12a}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.77)$$

Using

$$x_{\bar{1}3} + x_{21} + 4i\tilde{\theta}_{13a} \tilde{\theta}_{21}^a = x_{23}, \quad (3.78)$$

one can assure

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{X}_{1-} &= \tilde{X}_{1+} - 4i\bar{\Theta}_{1a} \Theta_1^a = x_{\bar{1}2}^{-1} x_{32} x_{31}^{-1} = \tilde{X}_{1+}^\dagger, \\ \tilde{X}_1 &= \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{X}_{1+} + \tilde{X}_{1-}) = X_1^\mu \tilde{\sigma}_\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (3.79)$$

It is evident from eq.(3.75) that under $z_2 \leftrightarrow z_3$, $\mathbb{Z}_1 \rightarrow -\mathbb{Z}_1$.

Associated with $F(z)$ given in eq.(3.53) we define $\tilde{F}(z)$ by

$$\tilde{F}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta \end{pmatrix} F(z)^t \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\epsilon} & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\zeta} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} iX_{1+} & 2\tilde{\theta}_b \\ 2\tilde{\theta}^a & \delta_b^a \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.80)$$

With this definition we may write

$$\tilde{F}(\mathbb{Z}_1) = \begin{pmatrix} iX_{1+} & 2\tilde{\Theta}_{1b} \\ 2\tilde{\Theta}_1^a & \delta_b^a \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.81)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} X_{1+} &= -\tilde{x}_{21}^{-1} \tilde{x}_{23} \tilde{x}_{13}^{-1}, \\ \tilde{\Theta}_{1a} &= i(\tilde{x}_{31}^{-1} \tilde{\theta}_{31a} - \tilde{x}_{21}^{-1} \tilde{\theta}_{21a}), \quad \tilde{\Theta}_1^a = i(\theta_{12}^a \tilde{x}_{12}^{-1} - \theta_{13}^a \tilde{x}_{13}^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.82)$$

$\tilde{F}(\mathbb{Z}_1)$ transforms infinitesimally as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \tilde{F}(\mathbb{Z}_1) = & \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{w}(z_1) - \frac{1}{2}\hat{\lambda}(z_1) + i\frac{1}{2}\hat{\psi}(z_1) & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{t}(z_1) + i\frac{2}{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{\psi}(z_1) + \delta^4 \mathcal{N} \Omega) \end{pmatrix} \tilde{F}(\mathbb{Z}_1) \\ & - \tilde{F}(\mathbb{Z}_1) \begin{pmatrix} \hat{w}(z_1) + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\lambda}(z_1) + i\frac{1}{2}\hat{\psi}(z_1) & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{t}(z_1) + i\frac{2}{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{\psi}(z_1) + \delta^4 \mathcal{N} \Omega) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.83)$$

and hence for finite transformations

$$\tilde{F}(\mathbb{Z}'_1) = \begin{pmatrix} L(z_{1+}; g)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & u(z_1; g)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \tilde{F}(\mathbb{Z}_1) \begin{pmatrix} \bar{L}(z_{1-}; g)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & u(z_1; g) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.84)$$

Thus $\mathbb{Z}_1$ transforms homogeneously at z_1 , as ‘tangent’ vectors do.

Explicitly we have from eq.(3.84)

$$\begin{aligned} X'_{1+} &= \Omega(z_1; g)^{-1} \hat{L}(z_{1+}; g)^{-1} X_{1+} \hat{\bar{L}}(z_{1-}; g)^{-1}, \\ \tilde{\Theta}'_{1a} &= \Omega(z_1; g)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Upsilon(z_1; g)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{L}(z_{1+}; g)^{-1} \tilde{\Theta}_{1b} \hat{u}^b_a(z_1; g), \\ \tilde{\tilde{\Theta}}'^a_1 &= \Omega(z_1; g)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Upsilon(z_1; g)^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{u}^{-1a}_b(z_1; g) \tilde{\tilde{\Theta}}^b_1 \hat{\bar{L}}(z_{1-}; g)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.85)$$

X_{1-} also transforms in the same way as X_{1+} in eq.(3.85) and hence

$$X'^\mu_1 = \Omega(z_1; g)^{-1} X^\nu_1 \hat{R}_\nu^\mu(z_1; g), \quad (3.86a)$$

$$\Theta'^a_1 \sigma^\mu \bar{\Theta}'_{1a} = \Omega(z_1; g)^{-1} \Theta^a_1 \sigma^\nu \bar{\Theta}_{1a} \hat{R}_\nu^\mu(z_1; g). \quad (3.86b)$$

From eq.(3.55) we get

$$\text{sdet } \tilde{F}(\mathbb{Z}_1) = \text{sdet } F(\mathbb{Z}_1) = -X_{1-}^2 = -\frac{x_{32}^2}{x_{12}^2 x_{31}^2}. \quad (3.87)$$

If we define a function $\tilde{v}(z) \in \text{U}(\mathcal{N})$ by

$$\tilde{v}^a_b(z) = (\zeta v(z)^t \bar{\zeta})^a_b = \delta^a_b - 4i \frac{1}{x_-^2} \tilde{\bar{\theta}}^a \tilde{x}_- \tilde{\theta}_b, \quad (3.88)$$

then a direct calculation leads

$$\tilde{v}(\mathbb{Z}_1) = v(z_{13})v(z_{32})v(z_{21}). \quad (3.89)$$

Similarly for $R_\mu{}^\nu(z; i_s)$ given in eq.(2.53) we have

$$R(\mathbb{Z}_1; i_s) = x_{12}^2 x_{21}^2 x_{31}^2 x_{13}^2 R(z_{12}; i_s) R(z_{23}; i_s) R(z_{31}; i_s). \quad (3.90)$$

From eqs.(3.68, 3.70) $\tilde{v}(\mathbb{Z}_1), R(\mathbb{Z}_1; i_s)$ transform homogeneously at z_1 under superconformal transformation, $z \xrightarrow{g} z'$,

$$\tilde{v}(\mathbb{Z}'_1) = u^{-1}(z_1; g) \tilde{v}(\mathbb{Z}_1) u(z_1; g), \quad (3.91a)$$

$$R(\mathbb{Z}'_1; i_s) = \Omega(z_1; g)^2 R^{-1}(z_1; g) R(\mathbb{Z}_1; i_s) R(z_1; g). \quad (3.91b)$$

Under superinversion, $z_j \xrightarrow{i_s} z'_j$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, $\mathbb{Z}_1$ transforms to $\mathbb{Z}'_1$, from eq.(3.72), as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{X}'_{1+} &= \tilde{x}_{1+} X_{1-} \tilde{x}_{1-}, \\ \Theta'^a_1 &= i v^{-1a}{}_b(z_1) \tilde{\Theta}_1^b \tilde{x}_{1-}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.92)$$

and hence

$$X'^\mu_1 = \Omega(z_1; i_s)^{-2} X^\nu_1 R_\nu{}^\mu(z_1; i_s), \quad (3.93a)$$

$$\Theta'^a_1 \sigma^\mu \bar{\Theta}'_{1a} = -\Omega(z_1; i_s)^{-2} \Theta^a_1 \sigma^\nu \bar{\Theta}_{1a} R_\nu{}^\mu(z_1; i_s). \quad (3.93b)$$

Note the minus sign in eq.(3.93b).

By taking cyclic permutations of z_1, z_2, z_3 in eq.(3.77) we may define $\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3$. We find $\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3$ are related to $\mathbb{Z}_1$ in a simple form

$$\begin{aligned} F((\mathbb{Z}_2)') &= \begin{pmatrix} -i\tilde{x}_{21} & 0 \\ 0 & v(z_{21}) \end{pmatrix} \tilde{F}(\mathbb{Z}_1) \begin{pmatrix} -i\tilde{x}_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & v(z_{12}) \end{pmatrix}, \\ F(\mathbb{Z}_3) &= \begin{pmatrix} -i\tilde{x}_{31}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{v}(z_{13}) \end{pmatrix} \tilde{F}((\mathbb{Z}_1)') \begin{pmatrix} -i\tilde{x}_{13}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{v}(z_{31}) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.94)$$

where $(\mathbb{Z})' = ((X)', (\Theta)'^a, (\bar{\Theta})'_a)$ is defined by superinversion, $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{i_s} (\mathbb{Z})'$. Explicitly we have

$$(X_2)'_+ \cdot \tilde{\sigma} = \tilde{x}_{21} X_{1+} \tilde{x}_{12}, \quad (\Theta_2)'^a = -i v^a{}_b(z_{21}) \tilde{\Theta}_1^b \tilde{x}_{12}, \quad (3.95a)$$

$$\tilde{X}_{3+} = x_{31}^{-1} (X_{1+})' \cdot \sigma x_{13}^{-1}, \quad \Theta_3^a = -i \tilde{v}^a{}_b(z_{13}) (\tilde{\Theta}_1)'^b x_{13}^{-1}. \quad (3.95b)$$

From eqs.(3.77, 3.79) we get

$$\frac{X_{1+}^2}{X_{1-}^2} = \frac{x_{12}^2 x_{23}^2 x_{31}^2}{x_{21}^2 x_{13}^2 x_{32}^2}, \quad (3.96a)$$

$$\frac{X_{1+} \cdot X_{1-}}{\sqrt{X_{1+}^2 X_{1-}^2}} = -\frac{\text{tr}(x_{21} \tilde{x}_{23} x_{13} \tilde{x}_{12} x_{32} \tilde{x}_{31})}{2\sqrt{x_{12}^2 x_{21}^2 x_{23}^2 x_{32}^2 x_{31}^2 x_{13}^2}}. \quad (3.96b)$$

These expressions are invariant under cyclic permutations of z_1, z_2, z_3 and hence

$$\frac{X_{1+}^2}{X_{1-}^2} = \frac{X_{2+}^2}{X_{2-}^2} = \frac{X_{3+}^2}{X_{3-}^2}, \quad (3.97a)$$

$$\frac{X_{1+} \cdot X_{1-}}{\sqrt{X_{1+}^2 X_{1-}^2}} = \frac{X_{2+} \cdot X_{2-}}{\sqrt{X_{2+}^2 X_{2-}^2}} = \frac{X_{3+} \cdot X_{3-}}{\sqrt{X_{3+}^2 X_{3-}^2}}. \quad (3.97b)$$

From eq.(3.86a) these are invariants for any continuous superconformal transformation and furthermore from eq.(3.93a) the latter is invariant under superinversion along with

$$\frac{X_{1+}^2}{X_{1-}^2} + \frac{X_{1-}^2}{X_{1+}^2}. \quad (3.98)$$

Note that such invariants, depending on three points, do not exist in ordinary conformal theories and that in the case of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ due to the identity (A.19a) those two variables are not independent [24, 25].

4 Superconformal Invariance of Correlation Functions

In this section we discuss the superconformal invariance of correlation functions for quasi-primary superfields and exhibit general forms of two-point, three-point and n -point functions.

4.1 Quasi-primary Superfields

We first assume⁶ that there exist quasi-primary superfields, $\Psi^I(z)$, which under the superconformal transformation, $z \xrightarrow{g} z'$, transform as

$$\Psi^I \longrightarrow \Psi'^I, \quad \Psi'^I(z') = \Psi^J(z) D_J^I(z; g). \quad (4.1)$$

⁶In [24] it was explicitly shown that the chiral/anti-chiral superfields and supercurrents in some $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theories are quasi-primary.

$D(z; g)$ obeys the group property so that under the successive superconformal transformations, $g'' : z \xrightarrow{g} z' \xrightarrow{g'} z''$, it satisfies

$$D(z; g)D(z'; g') = D(z; g''), \quad (4.2)$$

and hence also

$$D(z; g)^{-1} = D(z'; g^{-1}). \quad (4.3)$$

We choose here $D(z; g)$ to be a representation of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{SU}(\mathcal{N}) \times \text{U}(1) \times \text{D}$, which is a subgroup of the stability group at $z = 0$, and so we decompose the spin index, I , of superfields into $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ index, ρ , and $\text{SU}(\mathcal{N})$ index, r , as $\Psi^I \equiv \Psi^\rho_r$. Now $D_J^I(z; g)$ is factorized as

$$D_J^I(z; g) = D_\rho^\sigma(\hat{L}(z_+; g))D_s^r(\hat{u}(z; g))\Omega(z; g)^{-\eta}\Upsilon(z; g)^{-\kappa}, \quad (4.4)$$

where $D_\rho^\sigma(\hat{L})$, $D_s^r(\hat{u})$ are representations of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, $\text{SU}(\mathcal{N})$ respectively, while η and κ are the scale dimension and R -symmetry charge of Ψ^ρ_r respectively.

Infinitesimally

$$\delta\Psi^\rho_r(z) = -(\mathcal{L} + \eta\hat{\lambda}(z) + i\kappa\hat{\Omega}(z))\Psi^\rho_r(z) - \Psi^\sigma_r(z)\frac{1}{2}(s_{\mu\nu})_\sigma^\rho\hat{u}^{\mu\nu}(z) - \Psi^\rho_s(z)\frac{1}{2}(s^a_b)^s_r\hat{t}^b_a(z), \quad (4.5)$$

where $s_{\mu\nu}$, s^a_b are matrix generators of $\text{SO}(1, 3)$, $\text{SU}(\mathcal{N})$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} [s_{\mu\nu}, s_{\lambda\rho}] &= -\eta_{\mu\lambda}s_{\nu\rho} + \eta_{\mu\rho}s_{\nu\lambda} + \eta_{\nu\lambda}s_{\mu\rho} - \eta_{\nu\rho}s_{\mu\lambda}, \\ [s^a_b, s^c_d] &= 2(\delta^a_d s^c_b - \delta^c_b s^a_d), \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

and hence

$$[\frac{1}{2}s^a_b t^b_{1a}, \frac{1}{2}s^c_d t^d_{2c}] = \frac{1}{2}s^a_b [t_1, t_2]^b_a. \quad (4.7)$$

From eqs.(3.15, 3.17) using eq.(4.6) we have

$$\delta_3\Psi^\rho_r = [\delta_2, \delta_1]\Psi^\rho_r. \quad (4.8)$$

It is useful to consider the complex conjugate superfield of Ψ^ρ_r

$$\bar{\Psi}^{\rho r}(z) = \Psi^\rho_r(z)^\dagger. \quad (4.9)$$

$\bar{\Psi}^{\rho r}(z)$ transforms as

$$\bar{\Psi}'^{\rho r}(z') = \Omega(z; g)^{-\eta}\Upsilon(z; g)^\kappa \bar{D}^\rho_\sigma(\hat{\bar{L}}(z_-; g))D_s^r(\hat{u}(z; g)^{-1})\bar{\Psi}^{\sigma s}(z). \quad (4.10)$$

Superconformal invariance for a general n -point function requires

$$\langle \Psi_1^{I_1}(z_1) \Psi_2^{I_2}(z_2) \cdots \Psi_n^{I_n}(z_n) \rangle = \langle \Psi_1^{I_1}(z_1) \Psi_2^{I_2}(z_2) \cdots \Psi_n^{I_n}(z_n) \rangle. \quad (4.11)$$

In superconformal field theories on chiral superspace, the representation of $U(1) \times D$ is given by

$$\Omega_+(z_+; g)^{-\eta}, \quad (4.12)$$

so that for $\mathcal{N} \neq 4$, η and κ are related by, from eq.(3.52),

$$\eta + \left(\frac{4}{\mathcal{N}} - 1\right)\kappa = 0. \quad (4.13)$$

On the other hand when $\mathcal{N} = 4$, as shown in subsection 3.3, $\Omega_+(z_+; g)$ does not form a representation of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal group, and hence there is no conventional way of defining quasi-primary chiral/anti-chiral superfields in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal theories. We speculate that this fact makes it difficult to construct four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal theories on chiral superspace.

4.2 Two-point Correlation Functions

The solution for the two-point function of the quasi-primary superfields, $\Psi^\rho_r, \bar{\Psi}^{\rho r}$, has the general form⁷

$$\langle \bar{\Psi}^{\rho r}(z_1) \Psi^\sigma_s(z_2) \rangle = C_\Psi \frac{I^{\rho\sigma}(\hat{\tilde{x}}_{12}) I^r_s(\hat{v}(z_{12}))}{(x_{12}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\eta - (\frac{4}{\mathcal{N}} - 1)\kappa)} (x_{21}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\eta + (\frac{4}{\mathcal{N}} - 1)\kappa)}}, \quad (4.14)$$

where we define

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\tilde{x}}_{12} &= \frac{\tilde{x}_{12}}{(x_{12}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}), \\ \hat{v}^a_b(z_{12}) &= \left(\frac{x_{21}^2}{x_{12}^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}} (\delta^a_b + 4i\theta_{12}^a \tilde{x}_{12}^{-1} \bar{\theta}_{12b}) \in \text{SU}(\mathcal{N}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

and $I^{\rho\sigma}(\hat{\tilde{x}}_{12})$, $I^r_s(\hat{v}(z_{12}))$ are tensors transforming covariantly according to the appropriate representations of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, $\text{SU}(\mathcal{N})$ which are formed by decomposition of tensor products of $\hat{\tilde{x}}_{12}$, $\hat{v}(z_{12})$.

⁷See subsection 4.4 for a proof.

Under superconformal transformations, $I^{\rho\sigma}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\bar{1}2})$ and $I^r_s(v(z_{12}))$ satisfy from eqs.(3.65a, 3.70)

$$\bar{D}(\hat{\bar{L}}(z_{1-}; g))I(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\bar{1}2})D(\hat{L}(z_{2+}; g)) = I(\hat{\mathbf{x}}'_{12}), \quad (4.16a)$$

$$D(\hat{u}(z_1; g)^{-1})I(\hat{v}(z_{12}))D(\hat{u}(z_2; g)) = I(\hat{v}(z'_{12})). \quad (4.16b)$$

As examples, we first consider the chiral/anti-chiral scalar and spinorial fields, $S(z_+)$, $\bar{S}(z_-)$, $\phi^\alpha(z_+)$, $\bar{\phi}^{\dot{\alpha}}(z_-)$ in $\mathcal{N} \neq 4$ theories which transform as

$$S'(z'_+) = \Omega_+(z_+; g)^{-\eta} S(z_+), \quad (4.17a)$$

$$\bar{S}'(z'_-) = \Omega_-(z_-; g)^{-\eta} \bar{S}(z_-), \quad (4.17b)$$

$$\phi'^\alpha(z'_+) = \Omega_+(z_+; g)^{-\eta} \phi^\beta(z_+) \hat{L}_\beta^\alpha(z_+; g), \quad (4.17c)$$

$$\bar{\phi}'^{\dot{\alpha}}(z'_-) = \Omega_-(z_-; g)^{-\eta} \bar{\phi}^{\dot{\beta}}(z_-) \hat{\bar{L}}_{\dot{\beta}}^{\dot{\alpha}}(z_-; g), \quad (4.17d)$$

so that from eq.(4.13) $\eta + (\frac{4}{N} - 1)\kappa = 0$ and $s_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{[\mu}\tilde{\sigma}_{\nu]}$ for the spinorial fields. The two-point functions of them are

$$\langle \bar{S}(z_{1-}) S(z_{2+}) \rangle = C_S \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2)^\eta}, \quad (4.18)$$

$$\langle \bar{\phi}^{\dot{\alpha}}(z_{1-}) \phi^\alpha(z_{2+}) \rangle = C_\phi i \frac{(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\bar{1}2})^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}}{(x_{12}^2)^\eta}. \quad (4.19)$$

For a real vector field, $V^\mu(z)$, where the representation of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is given by $\hat{R}_\mu{}^\nu(z; g)$ and the R -symmetry charge is zero, $\kappa = 0$, we have

$$\langle V^\mu(z_1) V^\nu(z_2) \rangle = C_V \frac{I^{\mu\nu}(z_{12})}{(x_{12}^2 x_{21}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}\eta}}, \quad I^{\mu\nu}(z_{12}) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\sigma^\mu \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\bar{1}2} \sigma^\nu \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\bar{2}1}). \quad (4.20)$$

From eq.(A.10) one can show

$$I_{\mu\nu}(z_{12}) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\sigma_\mu \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\bar{1}2} \sigma_\nu \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\bar{2}1}) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\tilde{\sigma}_\mu \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\bar{2}1} \tilde{\sigma}_\nu \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\bar{1}2}), \quad (4.21)$$

where

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\mathbf{x}}{(x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \hat{\mathbf{x}}^{-1}. \quad (4.22)$$

Hence $I^{\mu\nu}(z_{12})$ satisfies

$$I^{\mu\nu}(z_{12})I_{\lambda\nu}(z_{12}) = \delta^\mu_\lambda. \quad (4.23)$$

Note that $I(z_{12}) \propto R(z_{12}; i_s)$, where $R(z; i_s)$ is given by eq.(2.53).

For gauge fields, $\psi_a(z)$, $\bar{\psi}^a(z)$, which transform as

$$\psi'_a(z') = \Omega(z; g)^{-\eta} \Upsilon(z; g)^{-\kappa} \psi_b(z) \hat{u}^b_a(z; g), \quad (4.24a)$$

$$\bar{\psi}'^a(z') = \Omega(z; g)^{-\eta} \Upsilon(z; g)^\kappa \hat{u}^{-1a}_b(z; g) \bar{\psi}^b(z), \quad (4.24b)$$

the two-point function of them is

$$\langle \bar{\psi}^a(z_1) \psi_b(z_2) \rangle = C_\psi \frac{\hat{v}^a_b(z_{12})}{(x_{12}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\eta - (\frac{4}{N} - 1)\kappa)} (x_{21}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\eta + (\frac{4}{N} - 1)\kappa)}}. \quad (4.25)$$

Note that to have non-vanishing two-point correlation functions, the scale dimensions, η , of the two fields must be equal and the R -symmetry charges must have the same absolute value with opposite signs, κ , $-\kappa$, as shown in subsection 4.4 later.

For a real vector superfield, $V^\mu(z)$, if we define

$$V_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(z) = \sigma_{\mu\alpha\dot{\alpha}} V^\mu(z), \quad (4.26)$$

from eq.(4.20) we get

$$\langle V_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(z_1) V_{\beta\dot{\beta}}(z_2) \rangle = 2C_V \frac{(\hat{x}_{21})_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} (\hat{x}_{12})_{\beta\dot{\alpha}}}{(x_{12}^2 x_{21}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}\eta}}. \quad (4.27)$$

From

$$D_{a\alpha}(z_1) x_{21}^\mu = 2i(\sigma^\mu \bar{\theta}_{12a})_\alpha, \quad D_{a\alpha}(z_1) x_{12}^\mu = 0, \quad (4.28)$$

with $\tilde{D}^{a\alpha} = \zeta^{ab} \epsilon^{-1\alpha\beta} D_{b\beta}$ we get

$$\tilde{D}^{a\alpha}(z_1) \langle V_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(z_1) V_{\beta\dot{\beta}}(z_2) \rangle = 4iC_V(\eta - 3) \frac{\tilde{\bar{\theta}}_{12\dot{\beta}}^a(x)_{\beta\dot{\alpha}}}{(x_{12}^2 x_{21}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\eta+1)}}, \quad (4.29)$$

and hence $\langle V_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(z_1) V_{\beta\dot{\beta}}(z_2) \rangle$ is conserved if $\eta = 3$

$$\tilde{D}^{a\alpha}(z_1) \langle V_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(z_1) V_{\beta\dot{\beta}}(z_2) \rangle = 0 \quad \text{if } \eta = 3. \quad (4.30)$$

The anti-commutator relation for $D_{a\alpha}$, $\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^b$ (2.11) implies also

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^\mu} \langle V^\mu(z_1) V^\nu(z_2) \rangle = 0 \quad \text{if } \eta = 3. \quad (4.31)$$

4.3 Three-point Correlation Functions

The solution for the three-point correlation function of the quasi-primary superfields, Ψ_r^ρ , has the general form⁸

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \Psi_{1r}^\rho(z_1) \Psi_{2s}^\sigma(z_2) \Psi_{3t}^\tau(z_3) \rangle \\ &= \frac{H^\rho_{r\sigma's'\tau't'}(\mathbb{Z}_1) I^{\sigma'\sigma}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{12}) I^{\tau'\tau}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{13}) I^{s'}_s(\hat{v}(z_{12})) I^{t'}_t(\hat{v}(z_{13}))}{(x_{12}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\eta_2 - (\frac{4}{N}-1)\kappa_2)} (x_{21}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\eta_2 + (\frac{4}{N}-1)\kappa_2)} (x_{13}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\eta_3 - (\frac{4}{N}-1)\kappa_3)} (x_{31}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\eta_3 + (\frac{4}{N}-1)\kappa_3)}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_1^M = (X_1^\mu, \Theta_1^a, \bar{\Theta}_{1a}) \in \mathbb{R}^{4|4N}$ is given by eq.(3.75).

Superconformal invariance (4.11) is now equivalent to

$$H^{\rho'}_{r\sigma s\tau t}(\mathbb{Z}) D_{\rho'}^\rho(\hat{L}) = H^\rho_{r\sigma's'\tau't}(\mathbb{Z}') \bar{D}^{\sigma'}_\sigma(\hat{L}) \bar{D}^{\tau'}_\tau(\hat{L}), \quad (4.33a)$$

$$\mathbb{Z}'^M = (X^\nu \hat{R}_\nu^\mu(\hat{L}), \Theta^a \hat{L}, \bar{L} \bar{\Theta}_a),$$

$$H^{\rho}_{r'\sigma s'\tau t'}(\mathbb{Z}) D^{r'}_r(\hat{u}) D^{s'}_s(\hat{u}) D^{t'}_t(\hat{u}) = H^\rho_{r\sigma s\tau t}(\mathbb{Z}''), \quad (4.33b)$$

$$\mathbb{Z}''^M = (X^\mu, \Theta^b(\bar{\zeta} \hat{u} \zeta)_b^a, (\bar{\zeta} \hat{u}^{-1} \zeta)_a^b \bar{\Theta}_b),$$

$$H^\rho_{r\sigma s\tau t}(\mathbb{Z}) = \lambda^{\eta_2 + \eta_3 - \eta_1} H^\rho_{r\sigma s\tau t}(\mathbb{Z}'''), \quad (4.33c)$$

$$\mathbb{Z}'''^M = (\lambda X^\mu, \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \Theta^a, \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{\Theta}_a),$$

$$H^\rho_{r\sigma s\tau t}(\mathbb{Z}) = e^{-i(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \kappa_3)\Omega} H^\rho_{r\sigma s\tau t}(\mathbb{Z}''''), \quad (4.33d)$$

$$\mathbb{Z}''''^M = (X^\mu, e^{i\frac{1}{2}\Omega} \Theta^a, e^{-i\frac{1}{2}\Omega} \bar{\Theta}_a).$$

Note that $\hat{L} \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, $\hat{u} \in \text{SU}(\mathcal{N})$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\Omega \in S^1$ and $\hat{R}_\nu^\mu(\hat{L})$ is given from eq.(3.46) by

$$\hat{R}_\nu^\mu(\hat{L}) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\tilde{\sigma}_\nu \hat{L} \sigma^\mu \hat{L}). \quad (4.34)$$

In general there are a finite number of linearly independent solutions of eq.(4.33a), and this number may be reduced by imposing extra restrictions on the correlation function.

⁸See subsection 4.4 for a proof.

As an example, we consider the three-point correlation function of a real vector superfield, $V^\mu(z)$, where $\kappa = 0$. From eq.(4.32) we may write

$$\langle V^\mu(z_1)V^\nu(z_2)V^\lambda(z_3) \rangle = \frac{H^{\mu\nu\lambda'}(\mathbb{Z}_1)I_{\nu'}^\nu(z_{12})I_{\lambda'}^\lambda(z_{13})}{(x_{12}^2x_{21}^2x_{31}^2x_{13}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}\eta}}. \quad (4.35)$$

Since eq.(4.33a) is obtained by considering invariance under continuous superconformal transformations, invariance under superinversion which is a discrete map may give an extra restriction. Besides the superconformal invariance, the three-point function has additional symmetry under permutations of the superfields. Furthermore, for supercurrents we may require the correlation function to satisfy the conservation equations like eqs.(4.30, 4.31). More explicitly, under superinversion we may require $V^\mu(z)$ transforms to

$$V'^\mu(z') = -V^\nu(z)\hat{R}_{\nu'}^\mu(z; i_s)\Omega(z; i_s)^{-\eta}. \quad (4.36)$$

The occurrence of the minus sign in $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Wess-Zumino model and vector superfield theory was verified in [24]. Invariance under superinversion, $z_j \xrightarrow{i_s} z'_j$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, implies using eqs.(2.52, 3.72, 3.74)

$$H^{\mu'\nu'\lambda'}(\mathbb{Z}_1)\hat{R}_{\mu'}^\mu(z_1; i_s)\hat{R}_{\nu'}^\nu(z_1; i_s)\hat{R}_{\lambda'}^\lambda(z_1; i_s) = -\Omega^{-\eta}(z_1; i_s)H^{\mu\nu\lambda}(\mathbb{Z}'_1), \quad (4.37)$$

which also implies using eqs.(3.92, 3.95a)

$$\Omega(z_{12}; i_s)^\eta H^{\mu'\nu'\lambda'}(\mathbb{Z}_1)\hat{R}_{\mu'}^\mu(z_{12}; i_s)\hat{R}_{\nu'}^\nu(z_{12}; i_s)\hat{R}_{\lambda'}^\lambda(z_{12}; i_s) = -H^{\mu\nu\lambda}(-(\mathbb{Z}_2)'), \quad (4.38)$$

where $(\mathbb{Z}_2)'$ is given by superinversion, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \xrightarrow{i_s} (\mathbb{Z}_2)'$.

From $\langle V^\mu(z_1)V^\nu(z_2)V^\lambda(z_3) \rangle = \langle V^\mu(z_1)V^\lambda(z_3)V^\nu(z_2) \rangle$ we have

$$H^{\mu\nu\lambda}(\mathbb{Z}) = H^{\mu\lambda\nu}(-\mathbb{Z}), \quad (4.39)$$

and from $\langle V^\mu(z_1)V^\nu(z_2)V^\lambda(z_3) \rangle = \langle V^\nu(z_2)V^\lambda(z_3)V^\mu(z_1) \rangle$ we have using eqs.(3.90, 4.38) with $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{i_s} (\mathbb{Z})'$

$$H^{\mu\nu\lambda}(-(\mathbb{Z})') = -\Omega(\mathbb{Z}; i_s)^{-\eta}H^{\nu\lambda\mu}(\mathbb{Z})\hat{R}_{\lambda'}^\lambda(\mathbb{Z}; i_s). \quad (4.40)$$

Imposing these extra conditions it was shown that the three-point correlation functions of supercurrents in $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theories have two linearly independent forms [25]. Similarly, the three-point functions of real scalar superfields in $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theories have also two linearly independent solutions [24].

Invariance under R -symmetry transformations (4.33b,d) implies that $H^{\mu\nu\lambda}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a function of X^μ , $\Theta^{a\alpha}\bar{\Theta}_a^{\dot{\alpha}}$ or equivalently X^μ , $\Theta^a\sigma^\mu\bar{\Theta}_a$, as demonstrated in subsection 4.5, and hence we may put

$$H^{\mu\nu\lambda}(\mathbb{Z}) = H^{\mu\nu\lambda}(X^\lambda, \Theta^a\sigma^\lambda\bar{\Theta}_a). \quad (4.41)$$

4.4 n -point Correlation Functions - in general

In this subsection we show that the solution for n -point correlation functions of the quasi-primary superfields, Ψ^ρ_r , has the general form

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \Psi_1^{\rho_1}{}_{r_1}(z_1) \cdots \Psi_n^{\rho_n}{}_{r_n}(z_n) \rangle \\ &= H^{\rho_1}{}_{r_1 \rho'_2 r'_2 \cdots \rho'_n r'_n}(\mathbb{Z}_{1(1)}, \cdots, \mathbb{Z}_{1(n-2)}) \prod_{k=2}^n \frac{I^{\rho'_k \rho_k}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\bar{1}k}) I^{r'_k}{}_{r_k}(\hat{v}(z_{1k}))}{(x_{\bar{1}k}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\eta_k - (\frac{4}{N}-1)\kappa_k)} (x_{\bar{k}1}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\eta_k + (\frac{4}{N}-1)\kappa_k)}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.42)$$

where in a similar fashion to eq.(3.75) $\mathbb{Z}_{1(1)}, \cdots, \mathbb{Z}_{1(n-2)}$ are given, with $z_{k1} \xrightarrow{is} \widetilde{z_{k1}}$, $k \geq 2$, by

$$G_T(\widetilde{z_{n1}})^{-1} G_T(\widetilde{z_{j1}}) = G_T(\mathbb{Z}_{1(j-1)}), \quad j = 2, 3, \cdots, n-1. \quad (4.43)$$

We note that all of them are ‘tangent’ vectors at z_1 .

Superconformal invariance (4.11) is equivalent to

$$H^{\rho'_1}{}_{r_1 \rho_2 r_2 \cdots \rho_n r_n}(\mathbb{Z}_{(1)}, \cdots, \mathbb{Z}_{(n-2)}) D_{\rho'_1}{}^{\rho_1}(\hat{L}) = H^{\rho_1}{}_{r_1 \rho'_2 r'_2 \cdots \rho'_n r'_n}(\mathbb{Z}'_{(1)}, \cdots, \mathbb{Z}'_{(n-2)}) \prod_{k=2}^n \bar{D}^{\rho'_k}{}_{\rho_k}(\hat{\bar{L}}), \quad (4.44a)$$

$$\mathbb{Z}'^M_{(j)} = (X^\nu_{(j)} \hat{R}_\nu{}^\mu(\hat{L}), \Theta^a_{(j)} \hat{L}, \hat{\bar{L}} \bar{\Theta}_{(j)a}),$$

$$H^{\rho_1}{}_{r_1 \rho'_2 r'_2 \cdots \rho'_n r'_n}(\mathbb{Z}_{(1)}, \cdots, \mathbb{Z}_{(n-2)}) \prod_{k=1}^n D^{r'_k}{}_{r_k}(\hat{u}) = H^{\rho_1}{}_{r_1 \rho_2 r_2 \cdots \rho_n r_n}(\mathbb{Z}''_{(1)}, \cdots, \mathbb{Z}''_{(n-2)}), \quad (4.44b)$$

$$\mathbb{Z}''^M_{(j)} = (X^\mu_{(j)}, \Theta^b_{(j)}(\bar{\zeta} \hat{u} \zeta)_b{}^a, (\bar{\zeta} \hat{u}^{-1} \zeta)_a{}^b \bar{\Theta}_{(j)b}),$$

$$H^{\rho_1}{}_{r_1 \rho_2 r_2 \cdots \rho_n r_n}(\mathbb{Z}_{(1)}, \cdots, \mathbb{Z}_{(n-2)}) = \lambda^{-\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \cdots + \eta_n} H^{\rho_1}{}_{r_1 \rho_2 r_2 \cdots \rho_n r_n}(\mathbb{Z}'''_{(1)}, \cdots, \mathbb{Z}'''_{(n-2)}), \quad (4.44c)$$

$$\mathbb{Z}'''^M_{(j)} = (\lambda X^\mu_{(j)}, \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \Theta^a_{(j)}, \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{\Theta}_{(j)a}),$$

$$H^{\rho_1}{}_{r_1 \rho_2 r_2 \cdots \rho_n r_n}(\mathbb{Z}_{(1)}, \cdots, \mathbb{Z}_{(n-2)}) = e^{-i(\kappa_1 + \cdots + \kappa_n)\Omega} H^{\rho_1}{}_{r_1 \rho_2 r_2 \cdots \rho_n r_n}(\mathbb{Z}''''_{(1)}, \cdots, \mathbb{Z}''''_{(n-2)}), \quad (4.44d)$$

$$\mathbb{Z}''''^M_{(j)} = (X^\mu_{(j)}, e^{i\frac{1}{2}\Omega} \Theta^a_{(j)}, e^{-i\frac{1}{2}\Omega} \bar{\Theta}_{(j)a}).$$

Thus, in general n -point functions reduce to one unspecified $(n-2)$ -point function which must transform homogeneously under the rigid transformations,

$\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{SU}(\mathcal{N}) \times \text{U}(1) \times \text{D}$.

*Proof*⁹

Without loss of generality, using the supertranslational invariance we can put the n -point function with $z_{k1} \xrightarrow{i_s} \widetilde{z_{k1}}$, $k \geq 2$ as

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \Psi_1^{\rho_1}{}_{r_1}(z_1) \cdots \Psi_n^{\rho_n}{}_{r_n}(z_n) \rangle \\ &= \mathcal{H}^{\rho_1}{}_{r_1 \rho'_2 r'_2 \cdots \rho'_n r'_n}(\widetilde{z_{21}}, \widetilde{z_{31}}, \cdots, \widetilde{z_{n1}}) \prod_{k=2}^n \frac{I^{\rho'_k \rho_k}(\hat{\widetilde{x}}_{1k}) I^{r'_k}{}_{r_k}(\hat{v}(z_{1k}))}{(x_{1k}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\eta_k - (\frac{4}{N}-1)\kappa_k)} (x_{k1}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\eta_k + (\frac{4}{N}-1)\kappa_k)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.45)$$

The superconformal invariance of the correlation function (4.11), using eqs.(3.67a, 3.85, 4.16a), implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{H}^{\rho_1}{}_{r_1 \rho'_2 r'_2 \cdots \rho'_n r'_n}(\widetilde{z'_{21}}, \widetilde{z'_{31}}, \cdots, \widetilde{z'_{n1}}) \prod_{k=2}^n \bar{D}^{\rho'_k}{}_{\rho_k}(\hat{L}(z_{1-}; g)) \\ &= \Omega(z_1; g)^{-\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \cdots + \eta_n} \Upsilon(z_1; g)^{-(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \cdots + \kappa_n)} \mathcal{H}^{\rho'_1}{}_{r'_1 \rho_2 r_2 \cdots \rho_n r_n}(\widetilde{z_{21}}, \widetilde{z_{31}}, \cdots, \widetilde{z_{n1}}) \\ & \quad \times D^{\rho_1}{}_{\rho'_1}(\hat{L}(z_{1+}; g)) \prod_{k=1}^n D^{r'_k}{}_{r_k}(\hat{u}(z_1; g)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.46)$$

Now we consider a superconformal transformation, $z \xrightarrow{g_u} z''$, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} G_T(z'') &= G_T(z_1'') G_T(z_s(z'; u)), \\ G_T(z') &= G_T(z_1)^{-1} G_T(z), \end{aligned} \quad (4.47)$$

where $z_s(z'; u)$ is a special superconformal transformation given in eq.(2.58) and z_1'' can be arbitrary. Since

$$G_T(\widetilde{z''_{k1}}) = G_T(u) G_T(\widetilde{z_{k1}}) \quad \text{for } k \geq 2, \quad (4.48)$$

$$\hat{L}(z_{1+}; g_u) = \hat{\bar{L}}(z_{1-}; g_u) = 1, \quad \Omega(z_1; g_u) = \Upsilon(z_1; g_u) = 1,$$

$\mathcal{H}^{\rho_1}{}_{r_1 \rho_2 r_2 \cdots \rho_n r_n}(\widetilde{z_{21}}, \widetilde{z_{31}}, \cdots, \widetilde{z_{n1}})$ possesses a supertranslational invariance

$$\mathcal{H}^{\rho_1}{}_{r_1 \rho_2 r_2 \cdots \rho_n r_n}(\widetilde{z_{21}}, \widetilde{z_{31}}, \cdots, \widetilde{z_{n1}}) = \mathcal{H}^{\rho_1}{}_{r_1 \rho_2 r_2 \cdots \rho_n r_n}(\widetilde{z''_{21}}, \widetilde{z''_{31}}, \cdots, \widetilde{z''_{n1}}). \quad (4.49)$$

⁹The key idea in this proof first appeared in [24].

Thus we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}^{\rho_1}_{r_1\rho_2r_2\cdots\rho_nr_n}(\widetilde{z_{21}}, \widetilde{z_{31}}, \cdots, \widetilde{z_{n1}}) &= H^{\rho_1}_{r_1\rho_2r_2\cdots\rho_nr_n}(\mathbb{Z}_{1(1)}, \cdots, \mathbb{Z}_{1(n-2)}), \\ G_T(\mathbb{Z}_{1(j-1)}) &= G_T(\widetilde{z_{n1}})^{-1}G_T(\widetilde{z_{j1}}).\end{aligned}\tag{4.50}$$

With the transformation rule for $\mathbb{Z}_1$ (3.85), eq.(4.50) completes our proof. *Q.E.D.*

We note that, in the case of $n = 2$, $H^{\rho_1}_{r_1\rho_2r_2}$ is independent of z_1, z_2 and eqs.(4.44c,d) show that two-point functions vanish if $\eta_1 \neq \eta_2$ or $\kappa_1 \neq -\kappa_2$. Furthermore, if the representation is irreducible then $H = 1$ by Schur's Lemma.

4.5 Selection Rule & Superconformal Invariants

We begin with fields, $\psi^I(x)$, depending on $x \in \mathbb{R}^4$ which are obtained by letting the Grassmann coordinates inside quasi-primary superfields, $\Psi^I(x, \theta^a, \bar{\theta}_a)$, be zero

$$\psi^I(x) \equiv \Psi^I(x, 0, 0).\tag{4.51}$$

They are the lowest order term appearing in the power series expansions of superfields in Grassmann coordinates. The superconformal invariance under $U(1)$ transformations (4.44d) implies for arbitrary $\Omega \in S^1$

$$\langle \psi_1^{I_1}(x_1) \cdots \psi_n^{I_n}(x_n) \rangle = e^{i(\kappa_1 + \cdots + \kappa_n)\Omega} \langle \psi_1^{I_1}(x_1) \cdots \psi_n^{I_n}(x_n) \rangle,\tag{4.52}$$

hence, if the the sum of the R -symmetry charge, κ_i , is not zero then the correlation function must vanish as exhibited in eq.(1.2)

$$\langle \psi_1^{I_1}(x_1) \cdots \psi_n^{I_n}(x_n) \rangle = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \kappa_i \neq 0.\tag{4.53}$$

This selection rule can be generalized further to all the other component fields in the power series expansions of superfields

$$\Psi^I(x, \theta^a, \bar{\theta}_a) = \psi^I(x) + \psi_{a\alpha}^I(x)\theta^{a\alpha} + \bar{\theta}_a^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{Ia}(x) + \cdots.\tag{4.54}$$

If we define the R -symmetry charge of the component fields, $\psi^I(x)$, $\psi_{a\alpha}^I(x)$, $\bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{Ia}(x)$, etc. as κ , $\kappa + \frac{1}{2}$, $\kappa - \frac{1}{2}$, etc. respectively, then the invariance under $U(1)$ transformation,

$$\langle \Psi_1^{I_1}(x_1, \theta_1^a, \bar{\theta}_{1a}) \cdots \rangle = e^{i(\kappa_1 + \cdots + \kappa_n)\Omega} \langle \Psi_1^{I_1}(x_1, e^{i\frac{1}{2}\Omega}\theta_1^a, e^{-i\frac{1}{2}\Omega}\bar{\theta}_{1a}) \cdots \rangle,\tag{4.55}$$

implies that the selection rule (4.53) holds for all the component fields.

The existence of this kind of selection rule in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory was previously predicted by Intriligator within the context of *AdS/CFT* correspondence, as the dual IIB supergravity contains a corresponding $U(1)$ symmetry [1]. Therefore our results provide a supporting evidence for the Maldacena conjecture, as the selection rule here is derived by purely considering the symmetry on CFT side without referring to the string side.

Essentially, for $\mathcal{N} \neq 4$ case, the selection rule exists since the four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} \neq 4$ superconformal group includes the $U(1)$ factor inevitably. However in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ case, as verified in subsection 2.5, the corresponding superconformal group is isomorphic to a semi-direct product of $U(1)$ and a simple Lie supergroup so that it can be reduced to the simple Lie subgroup by breaking the $U(1)$ symmetry. In this case, the selection rule will not be applicable to the corresponding $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal theory, since the $U(1)$ representation becomes trivial, $\Upsilon(z; g) = 1$, and the R -symmetry charge is not defined.

Now, we consider correlation functions of quasi-primary scalar superfields, $\Psi(z)$. From eqs.(4.44a,b) $H(\mathbb{Z}_{1(1)}, \dots, \mathbb{Z}_{1(n-2)})$ must be $SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \times SU(\mathcal{N})$ invariant and hence it is a function of $SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \times SU(\mathcal{N})$ invariants. According to [42], these invariants can be obtained by contracting in all possible ways the spinorial indices of

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \epsilon^{-1\alpha\beta}, \quad \bar{\epsilon}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, \quad \bar{\epsilon}^{-1\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, \quad (X_{1(i)})_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \quad \Theta_{1(i)}^{a\alpha} \bar{\Theta}_{1(j)a}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \\ \epsilon_{a_1 \dots a_{\mathcal{N}}} \Theta_{1(i_1)}^{a_1 \alpha_1} \dots \Theta_{1(i_{\mathcal{N}})}^{a_{\mathcal{N}} \alpha_{\mathcal{N}}}, \quad \epsilon^{a_1 \dots a_{\mathcal{N}}} \bar{\Theta}_{1(i_1)a_1}^{\dot{\alpha}_1} \dots \bar{\Theta}_{1(i_{\mathcal{N}})a_{\mathcal{N}}}^{\dot{\alpha}_{\mathcal{N}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.56)$$

On the other hand if we write

$$\mathcal{X}_{1(I)}^{\mu} = (\hat{X}_{1(i)}^{\mu}, \hat{\Theta}_{1(j)}^a \sigma^{\mu} \hat{\bar{\Theta}}_{1(k)a}), \quad 1 \leq I \leq (n-1)(n-2), \quad (4.57)$$

where $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{1(j)}^M = (\hat{X}_{1(j)}^{\mu}, \hat{\Theta}_{1(j)}^a, \hat{\bar{\Theta}}_{1(j)a})$ are normalized $\mathbb{Z}_{1(j)}^M$

$$\hat{X}_{1(j)}^{\mu} = \frac{X_{1(j)}^{\mu}}{(X_{1(1)+}^2 X_{1(1)-}^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}}, \quad \hat{\Theta}_{1(j)}^a = \frac{\Theta_{1(j)}^a}{(X_{1(1)+}^2 X_{1(1)-}^2)^{\frac{1}{8}}}, \quad \hat{\bar{\Theta}}_{1(j)a} = \frac{\bar{\Theta}_{1(j)a}}{(X_{1(1)+}^2 X_{1(1)-}^2)^{\frac{1}{8}}}, \quad (4.58)$$

then using eq.(A.20a) one can show that $\mathcal{X}_{1(I)} \cdot \mathcal{X}_{1(J)}$ are all the invariants for $SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \times SU(\mathcal{N}) \times U(1) \times D$ and hence invariants for the whole $\mathcal{N}$ -extended superconformal group. Note that from eq.(3.93b), some of them are pseudo invariants under superinversion.

Explicitly, we may reproduce the invariants depending on three points (3.97a) as

$$(\hat{X}_{1(1)+}^2)^2 = \frac{X_{1(1)+}^2}{X_{1(1)-}^2}, \quad \hat{X}_{1(1)+} \cdot \hat{X}_{1(1)-} = \frac{X_{1(1)+} \cdot X_{1(1)-}}{\sqrt{X_{1(1)+}^2 X_{1(1)-}^2}}, \quad (4.59)$$

and using

$$X_{1(l-1)+}^2 = \frac{x_{ln}^2}{x_{l1}^2 x_{1n}^2}, \quad (4.60)$$

$$X_{(l,m)+}^2 = \frac{x_{lm}^2}{x_{l1}^2 x_{1m}^2}, \quad (4.61)$$

$$X_{(l,m)+}^\mu = X_{1(l-1)+}^\mu - X_{1(m-1)-}^\mu + 2i\Theta_{1(l-1)}^a \sigma^\mu \bar{\Theta}_{1(m-1)a},$$

we may also obtain cross ratio type invariants depending on four points, z_r, z_s, z_t, z_u

$$\frac{x_{rs}^2 x_{tu}^2}{x_{ru}^2 x_{ts}^2}. \quad (4.62)$$

From $\hat{X}_{1(1)+}^2 \hat{X}_{1(1)-}^2 = 1$, the number of different $\mathcal{X}_{1(I)} \cdot \mathcal{X}_{1(J)}$, $1 \leq I, J \leq (n-1)(n-2)$ is $\frac{1}{2}(n^2 - 3n + 4)(n^2 - 3n + 1)$. However, a vector, α , in d -dimensions may be specified by d equations, $\alpha \cdot \beta_i = c_i$; $1 \leq i \leq d$. Thus the number of independent superconformal invariants or $\mathcal{X}_{1(I)} \cdot \mathcal{X}_{1(J)}$ in four-dimensions, $\#_n$, is

$$\#_n = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{for } n = 3, \\ 4n^2 - 12n + 1 & \text{for } n \geq 4. \end{cases} \quad (4.63)$$

This result holds for $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$, and for $\mathcal{N} = 1$ due to the identity (A.19a) the number of independent $\mathcal{X}_{1(I)} \cdot \mathcal{X}_{1(J)}$ reduces further to¹⁰

$$\#_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } n = 3, \\ 4n^2 - 12n & \text{for } n \geq 4. \end{cases} \quad (4.64)$$

In the case of $\sum_i \kappa_i = 0$, $H(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{1(1)}, \dots, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{1(n-2)})$ must be $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{SU}(\mathcal{N}) \times \text{U}(1) \times \text{D}$ invariant. Hence it is a function of $\mathcal{X}_{1(I)} \cdot \mathcal{X}_{1(J)}$ and the n -point correlation function of scalar superfields reduces to an arbitrary $\#_n$ variable function. The n -point function in this case may have the following general form

$$\langle \Psi_1(z_1) \cdots \Psi_n(z_n) \rangle = \frac{F(\mathcal{X}_{1(I)} \cdot \mathcal{X}_{1(J)})}{\prod_{l \neq m} (x_{lm}^2)^{\Delta_{lm}}}, \quad (4.65)$$

¹⁰Similar analysis for $\mathcal{N} = 1$ was done by Osborn [25].

where

$$\Delta_{lm} = -\frac{1}{2(n-1)(n-2)} \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i + \frac{1}{2(n-2)} (\eta_l + \eta_m) + \frac{1}{2n} \left(\frac{4}{N} - 1 \right) (\kappa_l - \kappa_m). \quad (4.66)$$

$F(\mathcal{X}_{1(I)} \cdot \mathcal{X}_{1(J)})$ is related to $H(\mathbb{Z}_{1(1)}, \dots, \mathbb{Z}_{1(n-2)})$ by

$$F(\mathcal{X}_{1(I)} \cdot \mathcal{X}_{1(J)}) = H(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{1(1)}, \dots, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{1(n-2)}) \prod_{2 \leq l \neq m} (\hat{X}_{1(l,m)+}^2)^{\Delta_{lm}}. \quad (4.67)$$

This relation can be derived using eq.(4.44c) and the following identity which holds when $(\frac{4}{N} - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \kappa_i = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\prod_{l \neq m} (x_{lm}^2)^{\Delta_{lm}} \right) \left(\prod_{k=2}^n (x_{1k}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\eta_k - (\frac{4}{N} - 1)\kappa_k)} (x_{k1}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\eta_k + (\frac{4}{N} - 1)\kappa_k)} \right) \\ &= \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}(-\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \dots + \eta_n)} \prod_{2 \leq l \neq m} \left(\frac{\lambda x_{lm}^2}{x_{l1}^2 x_{1m}^2} \right)^{\Delta_{lm}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.68)$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

4.6 Non-supersymmetric Case

In particular, here, we consider the non-supersymmetric case, i.e. $\mathcal{N} = 0$. Quasi-primary fields, $\Psi^\rho(x)$, transform under the conformal transformations, $x \xrightarrow{g} x'$, as

$$\Psi^\rho(x) \longrightarrow \Psi'^\rho(x') = \Psi^\sigma(x) D_\sigma^\rho(\hat{L}(x; g)) \Omega(x; g)^{-\eta}. \quad (4.69)$$

n -point function has the general form

$$\langle \Psi_1^{\rho_1}(x_1) \dots \Psi_n^{\rho_n}(x_n) \rangle = \frac{H^{\rho_1 \rho_2 \dots \rho_n}(X_{1(1)}, \dots, X_{1(n-2)}) I^{\rho'_2 \rho_2}(\hat{\tilde{X}}_{12}) \dots I^{\rho'_n \rho_n}(\hat{\tilde{X}}_{1n})}{(x_{12}^2)^{\eta_2} \dots (x_{1n}^2)^{\eta_n}}, \quad (4.70)$$

where $H^{\rho_1 \rho_2 \dots \rho_n}(X_{1(1)}, \dots, X_{1(n-2)})$ is a function depending on $n - 2$ points, $X_{1(j)}$, $1 \leq j \leq n - 2$,

$$(X_{1(j)})^\mu = \frac{x_{n1}^\mu}{x_{n1}^2} - \frac{x_{(j+1)1}^\mu}{x_{(j+1)1}^2}, \quad X_{1(j)}^2 = \frac{x_{(j+1)n}^2}{x_{n1}^2 x_{(j+1)1}^2}. \quad (4.71)$$

Under conformal transformations, $X_{1(j)}$ transforms homogeneously at x_1 as in eq.(3.86a). Conformal invariance is equivalent to

$$H^{\rho'_1}_{\rho_2 \dots \rho_n}(X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n-2)}) D_{\rho'_1}{}^{\rho_1}(\hat{L}) = H^{\rho_1}_{\rho'_2 \dots \rho'_n}(X'_{(1)}, \dots, X'_{(n-2)}) \bar{D}^{\rho'_2}_{\rho_2}(\hat{\bar{L}}) \dots \bar{D}^{\rho'_n}_{\rho_n}(\hat{\bar{L}}), \quad (4.72a)$$

$$X'^{\mu}_{(j)} = X^{\nu}_{(j)} \hat{R}_{\nu}{}^{\mu}(\hat{L}),$$

$$H^{\rho_1}_{\rho_2 \dots \rho_n}(X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n-2)}) = \lambda^{-\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \dots + \eta_n} H^{\rho_1}_{\rho_2 \dots \rho_n}(X''_{(1)}, \dots, X''_{(n-2)}), \quad (4.72b)$$

$$X''^{\mu}_{(j)} = \lambda X^{\mu}_{(j)}.$$

We note that this result holds in arbitrary dimension, d .

As an example, we consider scalar fields, $\Psi(x)$. The n -point function of them is from eq.(4.65)

$$\langle \Psi_1(x_1) \dots \Psi_n(x_n) \rangle = \frac{F(\hat{X}_{1(i)} \cdot \hat{X}_{1(j)})}{\prod_{l < m} (x_{lm}^2)^{-\frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)}(\eta_1 + \dots + \eta_n) + \frac{1}{n-2}(\eta_l + \eta_m)}}, \quad (4.73)$$

where

$$\hat{X}_{1(j)} = \frac{X_{1(j)}}{\sqrt{X_{1(1)}^2}}. \quad (4.74)$$

Alternatively, from eq.(4.62), we may regard $F(\hat{X}_{1(i)} \cdot \hat{X}_{1(j)})$ as an arbitrary function of cross ratios $(x_{ij}^2 x_{kl}^2)/(x_{ik}^2 x_{jl}^2)$.

The number of independent $\hat{X}_{1(i)} \cdot \hat{X}_{1(j)}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n-2$ or cross ratios in d -dimensions, $\#_{n|d}$, is

$$\#_{n|d} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}n(n-3) & \text{for } n \leq d+2, \\ dn - \frac{1}{2}(d+1)(d+2) & \text{for } n > d+2. \end{cases} \quad (4.75)$$

and the n -point function of scalar fields reduces to an arbitrary $\#_{n|d}$ variable function.

5 Superconformally Covariant Operators

In general acting on a quasi-primary superfield, $\Psi^\rho_r(z)$, with the spinor derivative, $D_{a\alpha}$, does not lead to a quasi-primary field. For a superfield, Ψ^ρ_r , from eqs.(3.8, 3.10, 4.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{a\alpha}\delta\Psi^\rho_r = & -(\mathcal{L} + (\eta + \tfrac{1}{2})\hat{\lambda} + i(\kappa + \tfrac{1}{2})\hat{\Omega})D_{a\alpha}\Psi^\rho_r \\
& + \tilde{w}_\alpha{}^\beta D_{a\beta}\Psi^\rho_r - D_{a\alpha}\Psi^\sigma_r \tfrac{1}{2}(s_{\mu\nu}\hat{w}^{\mu\nu})_\sigma{}^\rho \\
& - \hat{t}^b{}_a D_{b\alpha}\Psi^\rho_r - D_{a\alpha}\Psi^\rho_s \tfrac{1}{2}(s^b{}_c \hat{t}^c{}_b)^s{}_r \\
& + 2\hat{\rho}_{b\beta}(\Psi Y^{b\beta}{}_{a\alpha})^\rho{}_r.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

We may connect the generator of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ to $\text{SO}(1, 3)$ by

$$s_\alpha{}^\beta \equiv -\tfrac{1}{2}s_{\mu\nu}(\sigma^{[\mu}\tilde{\sigma}^{\nu]})_\alpha{}^\beta, \tag{5.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
[s_{\alpha\beta}, s_{\gamma\delta}] &= \epsilon_{\alpha\delta}s_{\beta\gamma} + \epsilon_{\beta\delta}s_{\alpha\gamma} + \epsilon_{\alpha\gamma}s_{\beta\delta} + \epsilon_{\beta\gamma}s_{\alpha\delta}, \\
s_{\alpha\beta} &= s_\alpha{}^\gamma \epsilon_{\gamma\beta} = s_{\beta\alpha}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

$Y^{b\beta}{}_{a\alpha}$ is given by

$$Y^{b\beta}{}_{a\alpha} = ((\tfrac{4}{\mathcal{N}} - 1)\kappa - \eta)\delta^b{}_a\delta^\beta{}_\alpha + \delta^b{}_a s_\alpha{}^\beta + 2s^b{}_a\delta^\beta{}_\alpha. \tag{5.4}$$

To ensure that $D_{a\alpha}\Psi^\rho_r$ is quasi-primary it is necessary that the terms proportional to $\hat{\rho}$ vanish and this can be achieved by restricting $D_{a\alpha}\Psi^\rho_r$ to an irreducible representation of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, $\text{SU}(\mathcal{N})$ and choosing a particular value of η and κ so that $\Psi Y = 0$. The changes of the scale dimension and the R -symmetry charge, $\eta \rightarrow \eta + \tfrac{1}{2}$, $\kappa \rightarrow \kappa + \tfrac{1}{2}$, in eq.(5.1) are also apparent from eq.(2.12)

$$D_{a\alpha} = \Omega(z; g)^{1/2} \Upsilon(z; g)^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{L}_\alpha{}^\beta(z; g) \hat{u}^{-1b}{}_a(z; g) D'_{b\beta}. \tag{5.5}$$

As an illustration we consider tensorial fields, $\Psi_{a_1 \dots a_m \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_l}^{b_1 \dots b_n}$, which transform as

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta \Psi_{a_1 \dots a_m \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_l}^{b_1 \dots b_n} = & -(\mathcal{L} + \eta \hat{\lambda} + i\kappa \hat{\Omega}) \Psi_{a_1 \dots a_m \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_l}^{b_1 \dots b_n} \\
& + \sum_{p=1}^k \tilde{w}_{\alpha_p}^{\beta} \Psi_{a_1 \dots a_m \alpha_1 \dots \beta \dots \alpha_k \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_l}^{b_1 \dots b_n} - \sum_{q=1}^l \Psi_{a_1 \dots a_m \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\beta} \dots \dot{\alpha}_l}^{b_1 \dots b_n} \hat{w}^{\dot{\beta}}_{\dot{\alpha}_q} \\
& - \sum_{i=1}^m \Psi_{a_1 \dots c \dots a_m \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_l}^{b_1 \dots b_n} \hat{t}^c_{a_i} + \sum_{j=1}^n \hat{t}^{b_j}_d \Psi_{a_1 \dots a_m \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_l}^{b_1 \dots d \dots b_n} .
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

Note that spinorial indices, $\alpha, \dot{\alpha}$ may be raised by $\epsilon^{-1}, \bar{\epsilon}^{-1}$.

For $\Psi_{a_1 \dots a_m \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_l}^{b_1 \dots b_n}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Psi Y^{b\beta}_{a\alpha})_{a_1 \dots a_m \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_l}^{b_1 \dots b_n} = & -2 \sum_{p=1}^k \delta^b_a \delta^\beta_{\alpha_p} \Psi_{a_1 \dots a_m \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_l}^{b_1 \dots b_n} \\
& + 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \delta^b_{a_i} \delta^\beta_\alpha \Psi_{a_1 \dots a \dots a_m \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_l}^{b_1 \dots b_n} \\
& - 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_a^{b_j} \delta^\beta_\alpha \Psi_{a_1 \dots a_m \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_l}^{b_1 \dots b \dots b_n} \\
& + \left(\left(\frac{4}{\mathcal{N}} - 1 \right) \kappa - \eta + k + 2 \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} (n - m) \right) \delta^b_a \delta^\beta_\alpha \Psi_{a_1 \dots a_m \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_l}^{b_1 \dots b_n} .
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

In particular, eq.(5.7) shows that the following are quasi-primary

$$D_{(b(\beta} \Psi_{a_1 \dots a_m) \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k) \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_l} \quad \text{if} \quad \eta - \left(\frac{4}{\mathcal{N}} - 1 \right) \kappa = -k + 2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \right) m , \tag{5.8a}$$

$$\bar{\zeta}_{b(a} \tilde{D}^{b\beta} \Psi_{a_1 \dots a_m) \beta \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_l} \quad \text{if} \quad \eta - \left(\frac{4}{\mathcal{N}} - 1 \right) \kappa = 3 + 2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \right) m , \tag{5.8b}$$

$$D_{[b(\beta} \Psi_{a_1 \dots a_m) \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k) \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_l} \quad \text{if} \quad \eta - \left(\frac{4}{\mathcal{N}} - 1 \right) \kappa = -k - 2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \right) m , \tag{5.8c}$$

$$\bar{\zeta}_{b[a} \tilde{D}^{b\beta} \Psi_{a_1 \dots a_m] \beta \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_l} \quad \text{if} \quad \eta - \left(\frac{4}{\mathcal{N}} - 1 \right) \kappa = 3 - 2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \right) m , \tag{5.8d}$$

where $(), []$ denote the usual symmetrization, anti-symmetrization respectively and obviously eqs.(5.8c,d) are nontrivial if $m + 1 \leq \mathcal{N}$.

Now we consider the case where more than one spinor derivative, $D_{a\alpha}$, act on a quasi-primary superfield. In this case, it is useful to note

$$D_{(a(\alpha}D_{b)\beta)} = 0, \quad D_{[a[\alpha}D_{b]\beta]} = 0, \quad (5.9)$$

and

$$D_{a\alpha}\hat{\rho}_{b\beta} = 0. \quad (5.10)$$

From eq.(5.7) one can show that the following are quasi-primary

$$D_{[b_1(\beta_1} \cdots D_{b_n\beta_n} \Psi_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k) a_1 \cdots a_m] \dot{\alpha}_1 \cdots \dot{\alpha}_l} \quad \text{if} \quad \eta - \left(\frac{4}{N} - 1\right)\kappa = 2 - k - 2n - 2\left(1 + \frac{1}{N}\right)m, \quad (5.11a)$$

$$D_{(bc} \Psi_{a_1 \cdots a_m) \dot{\alpha}_1 \cdots \dot{\alpha}_l} \quad \text{if} \quad \eta - \left(\frac{4}{N} - 1\right)\kappa = 2 + 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right)m, \quad (5.11b)$$

where

$$D_{bc} = \epsilon^{-1\gamma\beta} D_{b\beta} D_{c\gamma}. \quad (5.12)$$

Eq.(5.11a) is nontrivial if $m + n \leq \mathcal{N}$.

Similar analysis for $\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^a$ is ready to be done by taking complex conjugates of the results (5.8a, 5.11a). We also note that in $\mathcal{N} \neq 4$ chiral superfield theories, η and κ are related by $\eta + \left(\frac{4}{N} - 1\right)\kappa = 0$ as demonstrated in eq.(4.13).

Acknowledgments

I am deeply indebted to Hugh Osborn for many aspects of writing-up in this paper. I also wish to thank Youngjai Kiem for his helpful comments. Part of this work was done with the hospitality of Asia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics.

Appendix

A Notations & Useful Equations

With the four-dimensional Minkowskian metric, $\eta^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(+1, -1, -1, -1)$, the 4×4 gamma matrices, γ^μ , $\mu = 0, 1, \dots, 3$, satisfy the Clifford algebra

$$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu = 2\eta^{\mu\nu}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

The gamma matrices for even dimensions can be chosen in general to have the form

$$\gamma^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^\mu \\ \tilde{\sigma}^\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

and to satisfy

$$\gamma_5 = -i\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

We also assume the hermiticity condition

$$\gamma^0 \gamma^{\mu\dagger} \gamma^0 = \gamma^\mu. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

The 2×2 matrices, $\sigma^\mu, \tilde{\sigma}^\mu$ satisfy from eqs.(A.1, A.3)

$$\sigma^\mu \tilde{\sigma}^\nu + \sigma^\nu \tilde{\sigma}^\mu = 2\eta^{\mu\nu}, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(\sigma^\mu \tilde{\sigma}^\nu) = \eta^{\mu\nu}, \quad (\text{A.6a})$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(\sigma^\mu \tilde{\sigma}^\nu \sigma^\lambda \tilde{\sigma}^\rho) = \eta^{\mu\nu} \eta^{\lambda\rho} + \eta^{\nu\lambda} \eta^{\rho\mu} - \eta^{\mu\lambda} \eta^{\nu\rho} - i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}, \quad (\text{A.6b})$$

where we put $\epsilon_{0123} = -\epsilon^{0123} = 1$.

σ^μ and $\tilde{\sigma}^\mu$ separately form bases of 2×2 matrices with the completeness relation

$$\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\mu \tilde{\sigma}_\mu^{\dot{\beta}\beta} = 2\delta_\alpha^\beta \delta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\beta}}. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

The coefficient on the right hand side may be determined by eq.(A.6a).

Charge conjugation matrix C satisfies

$$C\gamma^\mu C^{-1} = -\gamma^\mu, \quad (\text{A.8})$$

and has the form

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\epsilon}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.9})$$

where $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$, $\bar{\epsilon}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$ are the 2×2 anti-symmetric matrices, $\epsilon_{12} = \bar{\epsilon}_{12} = 1$ with inverses, $(\epsilon^{-1})^{\alpha\beta}$, $(\bar{\epsilon}^{-1})^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$.

Eq.(A.8) implies

$$\epsilon \tilde{\sigma}^{\mu t} \bar{\epsilon} = -\sigma^\mu. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

From eqs.(A.7, A.10) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\mu \sigma_{\mu\beta\dot{\beta}} &= 2\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \bar{\epsilon}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, \\ (\sigma^{[\mu} \tilde{\sigma}^{\nu]})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} (\sigma_{[\mu} \tilde{\sigma}_{\nu]})_{\gamma}{}^{\delta} &= 4(\delta_{\alpha}^{\beta} \delta_{\gamma}^{\delta} - 2\delta_{\alpha}^{\delta} \delta_{\gamma}^{\beta}), \\ (\sigma^{[\mu} \tilde{\sigma}^{\nu]})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} (\tilde{\sigma}_{[\mu} \sigma_{\nu]})^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

It is useful to note

$$\delta_{\alpha}^{\delta} \delta_{\gamma}^{\beta} - \delta_{\alpha}^{\beta} \delta_{\gamma}^{\delta} = \epsilon_{\alpha\gamma} \epsilon^{-1\beta\delta}. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

We may choose

$$\sigma^0 = \tilde{\sigma}^0 = 1, \quad (\text{A.13})$$

then from eqs.(A.4, A.5)

$$\sigma^i = -\tilde{\sigma}^i, \quad \sigma^{\mu\dagger} = \sigma^\mu, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

In four-dimensions there is a unique correspondence between a general four vector, v^μ , and a 2×2 matrix, $v_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ or $\tilde{v}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}$, through

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} &= v^\mu \sigma_{\mu\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, & v^\mu &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\tilde{\sigma}^\mu v), \\ \tilde{v}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} &= v^\mu \tilde{\sigma}_\mu^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}, & v^\mu &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\sigma^\mu \tilde{v}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.15})$$

With this notation it is convenient to introduce the variables

$$\tilde{x}_\pm = \tilde{x} \pm 2i\bar{\theta}_a \theta^a. \quad (\text{A.16})$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x}_\pm &= x_\pm^\mu \tilde{\sigma}_\mu, & x_\pm^\mu &= x^\mu \mp i\theta^a \sigma^\mu \bar{\theta}_a, \\ \tilde{x}_\pm^\dagger &= \tilde{x}_\mp, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.17})$$

and

$$x_{\pm} = x_{\pm}^{\mu} \sigma_{\mu} = x \mp 2i \tilde{\theta}_a \tilde{\bar{\theta}}^a. \quad (\text{A.18})$$

Some useful identities relevant to the present paper are

$$\theta^{\alpha} \theta^{\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{-1\alpha\beta} \theta \tilde{\theta}, \quad \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\epsilon}^{-1\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \tilde{\bar{\theta}} \bar{\theta}, \quad (\text{A.19a})$$

$$\theta \sigma^{\mu} \bar{\theta} \theta \sigma^{\nu} \bar{\theta} = \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\mu\nu} \theta \tilde{\theta} \bar{\theta} \bar{\theta}, \quad (\text{A.19b})$$

$$\theta \sigma^{\mu} \bar{\theta} \theta \sigma_{\mu} \bar{\theta} = \frac{4}{x^2} (\theta x \bar{\theta})^2, \quad (\text{A.19c})$$

$$\theta_1^{\alpha} \bar{\theta}_2^{\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{2} \theta_1 \sigma^{\mu} \bar{\theta}_2 \tilde{\sigma}_{\mu}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}, \quad (\text{A.20a})$$

$$\epsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_d} \epsilon_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_d} = \sum_{p=1}^{d!} \text{sign}(p) \delta_{\mu_1 \nu_{p_1}} \dots \delta_{\mu_d \nu_{p_d}} \quad p : \text{permutations}, \quad (\text{A.20b})$$

$$\epsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_d} x_{(1)}^{\mu_1} \dots x_{(d)}^{\mu_d} = \pm \sqrt{\epsilon^{i_1 \dots i_d} x_{(1)} \cdot x_{(i_1)} \dots x_{(d)} \cdot x_{(i_d)}}, \quad (\text{A.20c})$$

$$\det(\theta^a \tilde{\theta}_b) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\mathcal{N}} (\mathcal{N} + 1)! \prod_{a=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \theta^a \tilde{\theta}_a. \quad (\text{A.21})$$

B Solution of Superconformal Killing Equation

To solve the superconformal Killing equation (2.31) we first note that from eq.(2.32)

$$D_{b\beta} D_{a\alpha} \tilde{h}^{\dot{\gamma}\gamma} = \frac{1}{4} \delta_{\alpha}^{\gamma} D_{b\delta} D_{a\beta} \tilde{h}^{\dot{\gamma}\delta}. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

Contracting β and γ indices gives

$$D_{b\delta} D_{a\alpha} \tilde{h}^{\dot{\gamma}\delta} = 0, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

and hence

$$D_{b\beta} D_{a\alpha} \tilde{h}^{\dot{\gamma}\gamma} = 0. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Now we write a general solution of eq.(2.27) as

$$h^{\mu}(z) = a^{\mu}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) + \lambda(\theta, \bar{\theta}) x^{\mu} + w_{\nu}^{\mu}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) x^{\nu} + 2x \cdot b(\theta, \bar{\theta}) x^{\mu} - x^2 b^{\mu}(\theta, \bar{\theta}), \quad (\text{B.4})$$

where $w_{\mu\nu}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) + w_{\nu\mu}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) = 0$.

Then eq.(B.4) can be written in terms of $\tilde{h} = h^\mu \tilde{\sigma}_\mu$ as

$$\tilde{h}(z) = \tilde{x}_- b(\theta, \bar{\theta}) \tilde{x}_+ + \tilde{x}_- W(\theta, \bar{\theta}) + \bar{W}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) \tilde{x}_+ + \tilde{A}(\theta, \bar{\theta}), \quad (B.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} W(\theta, \bar{\theta}) &= -\frac{1}{4} w_{\mu\nu}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) \sigma^\mu \tilde{\sigma}^\nu + \frac{1}{2} \lambda(\theta, \bar{\theta}) - 2i b(\theta, \bar{\theta}) \bar{\theta}_a \theta^a = \bar{W}(\theta, \bar{\theta})^\dagger, \\ \tilde{A}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) &= \tilde{a}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) - i \frac{1}{2} w_{\mu\nu}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) (\bar{\theta}_a \theta^a \sigma^{[\mu} \tilde{\sigma}^{\nu]} + \tilde{\sigma}^{[\mu} \sigma^{\nu]} \bar{\theta}_a \theta^a) + 4\theta^a b(\theta, \bar{\theta}) \bar{\theta}_b \bar{\theta}_a \theta^b = \tilde{A}(\theta, \bar{\theta})^\dagger. \end{aligned} \quad (B.6)$$

Essentially we may regard $W(\theta, \bar{\theta})$ as an arbitrary 2×2 matrix and $\tilde{A}(\theta, \bar{\theta})$ as an arbitrary 2×2 hermitian matrix.

The variables, $\tilde{x}_\pm$ defined in eq.(A.16), satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} D_{a\alpha} \tilde{x}_+^{\dot{\beta}\beta} &= -4i \delta_\alpha^\beta \bar{\theta}_a^{\dot{\beta}}, & D_{a\alpha} \tilde{x}_-^{\dot{\beta}\beta} &= 0, \\ \bar{D}_\alpha^a \tilde{x}_+^{\dot{\beta}\beta} &= 0, & \bar{D}_\alpha^a \tilde{x}_-^{\dot{\beta}\beta} &= 4i \delta_\alpha^{\dot{\beta}} \theta^{a\beta}, \end{aligned} \quad (B.7)$$

which ensure that substituting eq.(B.5) into eq.(2.32) leads independent equations for $b(\theta, \bar{\theta})$, $W(\theta, \bar{\theta})$, $\tilde{A}(\theta, \bar{\theta})$.

After substituting eq.(B.5) into eq.(2.32), we get from the x^2 -terms

$$D_{a\alpha} (b(\theta, \bar{\theta}) \tilde{\sigma}^\mu)_\delta^\beta = \frac{1}{2} \delta_\alpha^\beta D_{a\gamma} (b(\theta, \bar{\theta}) \tilde{\sigma}^\mu)_\delta^\gamma. \quad (B.8)$$

From eq.(A.7)

$$\delta_\gamma^\beta D_{a\alpha} b_{\delta\dot{\delta}}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) = \frac{1}{2} \delta_\alpha^\beta D_{a\gamma} b_{\delta\dot{\delta}}(\theta, \bar{\theta}), \quad (B.9)$$

and hence

$$D_{a\alpha} b(\theta, \bar{\theta}) = 0. \quad (B.10)$$

In a similar fashion, or taking complex conjugate, one can show $\bar{D}_\alpha^a b(\theta, \bar{\theta}) = 0$. Therefore $b^\mu(\theta, \bar{\theta})$ is independent of $\theta, \bar{\theta}$ and eq.(B.7) shows that $\tilde{x}_- b \tilde{x}_+$ is a solution of eq.(2.32).

The remaining terms lead

$$\begin{aligned} & (D_{a\alpha} \bar{W}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) \tilde{x}_-)^{\dot{\beta}\beta} + (\tilde{x}_- D_{a\alpha} W(\theta, \bar{\theta}))^{\dot{\beta}\beta} + 4i (D_{a\alpha} \bar{W}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) \bar{\theta}_b)^{\dot{\beta}} \theta^{b\beta} + D_{a\alpha} \tilde{A}^{\dot{\beta}\beta}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \delta_\alpha^\beta \{ (D_{a\gamma} \bar{W}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) \tilde{x}_-)^{\dot{\beta}\gamma} + (\tilde{x}_- D_{a\gamma} W(\theta, \bar{\theta}))^{\dot{\beta}\gamma} + 4i (D_{a\gamma} \bar{W}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) \bar{\theta}_b)^{\dot{\beta}} \theta^{b\gamma} + D_{a\gamma} \tilde{A}^{\dot{\beta}\gamma}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (B.11)$$

This gives two separate equations

$$D_{a\alpha}(\bar{W}(\theta, \bar{\theta})\tilde{\sigma}^\mu + \tilde{\sigma}^\mu W(\theta, \bar{\theta}))^{\dot{\beta}\beta} = \frac{1}{2}\delta_\alpha^\beta D_{a\gamma}(\bar{W}(\theta, \bar{\theta})\tilde{\sigma}^\mu + \tilde{\sigma}^\mu W(\theta, \bar{\theta}))^{\dot{\beta}\gamma}, \quad (\text{B.12})$$

$$D_{a\alpha}(\tilde{A}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) + 4i\bar{W}(\theta, \bar{\theta})\bar{\theta}_b\theta^b)^{\dot{\beta}\beta} = \frac{1}{2}\delta_\alpha^\beta D_{a\gamma}(\tilde{A}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) + 4i\bar{W}(\theta, \bar{\theta})\bar{\theta}_b\theta^b)^{\dot{\beta}\gamma}. \quad (\text{B.13})$$

Eq.(B.12) is equivalent, from eq.(A.7), to

$$\delta_\gamma^\beta D_{a\alpha}\bar{W}^{\dot{\beta}}_{\dot{\gamma}}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) + \delta_{\dot{\gamma}}^{\dot{\beta}} D_{a\alpha}W_\gamma^\beta(\theta, \bar{\theta}) = \frac{1}{2}\delta_\alpha^\beta (D_{a\gamma}\bar{W}^{\dot{\beta}}_{\dot{\gamma}}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) + \delta_{\dot{\gamma}}^{\dot{\beta}} D_{a\delta}W_\gamma^\delta(\theta, \bar{\theta})). \quad (\text{B.14})$$

By contracting β and γ indices one can solve $D_{a\alpha}\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\beta}}(\theta, \bar{\theta})$ in terms of $D_{a\alpha}W_\beta^\gamma(\theta, \bar{\theta})$, and hence eq.(B.14) is equivalent to

$$D_{a\alpha}\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\beta}}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) = \frac{1}{3}\delta_{\dot{\beta}}^{\dot{\alpha}}(D_{a\beta}W_\alpha^\beta(\theta, \bar{\theta}) - 2D_{a\alpha}W_\beta^\beta(\theta, \bar{\theta})) = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\dot{\beta}}^{\dot{\alpha}} D_{a\alpha}\bar{W}^{\dot{\gamma}}_{\dot{\gamma}}(\theta, \bar{\theta}), \quad (\text{B.15a})$$

$$D_{a\alpha}W_\beta^\gamma(\theta, \bar{\theta}) = \frac{1}{3}\delta_\alpha^\gamma(2D_{a\delta}W_\beta^\delta(\theta, \bar{\theta}) - D_{a\beta}W_\delta^\delta(\theta, \bar{\theta})) - \frac{1}{2}\delta_\beta^\gamma D_{a\alpha}\bar{W}^{\dot{\beta}}_{\dot{\beta}}(\theta, \bar{\theta}). \quad (\text{B.15b})$$

Eq.(B.13) gives from eq.(B.3)

$$0 = D_{b\beta}D_{a\alpha}\tilde{A}^{\dot{\gamma}\gamma}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) + 4i(D_{b\beta}D_{a\alpha}\bar{W}(\theta, \bar{\theta})\bar{\theta}_c)^{\dot{\gamma}}\theta^{c\gamma} - 4i(D_{a\alpha}\bar{W}(\theta, \bar{\theta})\bar{\theta}_b)^{\dot{\gamma}}\delta_\beta^\gamma, \quad (\text{B.16})$$

and $\{D_{a\alpha}, D_{b\beta}\} = 0$ implies

$$0 = \delta_\beta^\gamma(D_{a\alpha}\bar{W}(\theta, \bar{\theta})\bar{\theta}_b)^{\dot{\gamma}} + \delta_\alpha^\gamma(D_{b\beta}\bar{W}(\theta, \bar{\theta})\bar{\theta}_a)^{\dot{\gamma}}, \quad (\text{B.17})$$

and hence from eq.(B.15a)

$$0 = D_{a\alpha}\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\alpha}}(\theta, \bar{\theta})\bar{\theta}_b^{\dot{\beta}}. \quad (\text{B.18})$$

Therefore $\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\alpha}}(\theta, \bar{\theta})$ is of the form

$$\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\alpha}}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) = \bar{w}(\bar{\theta}) + w'(\theta)\bar{\theta}^{2\mathcal{N}}, \quad \bar{\theta}^{2\mathcal{N}} = \bar{\theta}_1^2\bar{\theta}_2^2\cdots\bar{\theta}_\mathcal{N}^2. \quad (\text{B.19})$$

We may require $w'(0) = 0$.

Substituting this expression into eq.(B.15a) gives

$$D_{a\alpha}\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\beta}}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\dot{\beta}}^{\dot{\alpha}} D_{a\alpha}w'(\theta)\bar{\theta}^{2\mathcal{N}}, \quad (\text{B.20})$$

and hence we can put

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\beta}}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) &= \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\dot{\beta}}^{\dot{\alpha}} w'(\theta)\bar{\theta}^{2\mathcal{N}} + \bar{w}^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\beta}}(\bar{\theta}), \\ W_\beta^\alpha(\theta, \bar{\theta}) &= \frac{1}{2}\delta_\beta^\alpha \bar{w}'(\bar{\theta})\theta^{2\mathcal{N}} + w_\beta^\alpha(\theta). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.21})$$

Substituting this expression again into eq.(B.15a) gives

$$D_{a\alpha}w'(\theta)\bar{\theta}^{2\mathcal{N}} = -\bar{w}'(\bar{\theta})D_{a\alpha}\theta^{2\mathcal{N}}, \quad (\text{B.22a})$$

$$D_{a\beta}w_{\alpha}^{\beta}(\theta) = 2D_{a\alpha}w_{\beta}^{\beta}(\theta). \quad (\text{B.22b})$$

Thus we get

$$w'(\theta) = 2ic\theta^{2\mathcal{N}}, \quad \theta^{2\mathcal{N}} = \theta_1^2\theta_2^2 \cdots \theta_{\mathcal{N}}^2 = \bar{\theta}^{2\mathcal{N}\dagger}, \quad (\text{B.23})$$

and from eq.(B.15b)

$$D_{a\alpha}w_{\beta}^{\gamma}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\alpha}^{\gamma}D_{a\delta}w_{\beta}^{\delta}(\theta), \quad (\text{B.24})$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

From eq.(B.3)

$$0 = D_{a\alpha}D_{b\beta}w_{\gamma}^{\delta}(\theta). \quad (\text{B.25})$$

Hence we can put

$$D_{a\alpha}w_{\beta}^{\beta}(\theta) = 4\rho_{a\alpha}. \quad (\text{B.26})$$

Therefore we get the solution for $W_{\alpha}^{\beta}(\theta, \bar{\theta})$

$$W(\theta, \bar{\theta}) = -ic\theta^{2\mathcal{N}}\bar{\theta}^{2\mathcal{N}} - 4\rho_a\theta^a - \frac{1}{4}w_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{[\mu}\tilde{\sigma}^{\nu]} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda. \quad (\text{B.27})$$

However, $\tilde{h}(z)$ is independent of c and hence we can put $c = 0$.

Now, eq.(B.13) reads

$$D_{a\alpha}\tilde{A}^{\dot{\beta}\beta}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\alpha}^{\beta}D_{a\gamma}\tilde{A}^{\dot{\beta}\gamma}(\theta, \bar{\theta}), \quad \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^a\tilde{A}^{\dot{\beta}\beta}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\beta}}\bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}}^a\tilde{A}^{\dot{\gamma}\beta}(\theta, \bar{\theta}), \quad (\text{B.28})$$

and hence

$$\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^bD_{a\alpha}\tilde{A}^{\dot{\beta}\beta}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) = \frac{1}{4}\delta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\beta}}\delta_{\alpha}^{\beta}\bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}}^bD_{a\gamma}\tilde{A}^{\dot{\gamma}\gamma}(\theta, \bar{\theta}). \quad (\text{B.29})$$

Since $D_{a\alpha}D_{b\beta}\tilde{A}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) = 0$ we can put

$$\bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}}^aD_{b\gamma}\tilde{A}^{\dot{\gamma}\gamma}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) = -16it_a^b + 8\Omega\delta_b^a, \quad (\text{B.30a})$$

$$D_{a\alpha}\tilde{A}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) = -8i\bar{\varepsilon}_a^{\dot{\alpha}}, \quad (\text{B.30b})$$

where $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in \text{su}(\mathcal{N})$ i.e. $t^{\dagger} = -t$, $\text{tr } t = 0$.

Eq.(B.30a) gives the general solution for $\tilde{A}(\theta, \bar{\theta})$

$$\tilde{A}(\theta, \bar{\theta}) = -4i\bar{\theta}_at_a^b\theta^b + 2\Omega\bar{\theta}_a\theta^a + 4i(\bar{\varepsilon}_a\theta^a - \bar{\theta}_a\varepsilon^a) + \tilde{a}. \quad (\text{B.31})$$

All together, we get the general solution of the superconformal Killing equation (2.34).

C Basis for Superconformal Algebra

We write the superconformal generators in general as

$$\mathcal{K} \cdot \mathcal{P} = a^\mu P_\mu + \varepsilon^a Q_a + \bar{Q}^a \bar{\varepsilon}_a + \lambda D + i\Omega R + \frac{1}{2} w^{\mu\nu} M_{\mu\nu} + b^\mu K_\mu + S^a \rho_a + \bar{\rho}^a \bar{S}_a + t^a_b A^b_a, \quad (\text{C.1})$$

for

$$\mathcal{K} = (a^\mu, b^\mu, \varepsilon^a, \bar{\varepsilon}_a, \rho_a, \bar{\rho}^a, \lambda, \Omega, w^\mu_\nu, t^a_b), \quad (\text{C.2a})$$

$$\mathcal{P} = (P_\mu, K_\mu, Q_a, \bar{Q}^a, S_a, \bar{S}^a, D, R, M^\mu_\nu, A^a_b), \quad (\text{C.2b})$$

where the $\text{SU}(\mathcal{N})$ generators, A^a_b , satisfy $A^\dagger = -A$, $\text{tr} A = 0$. The superconformal algebra can now be obtained by imposing

$$[\mathcal{K}_1 \cdot \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{K}_2 \cdot \mathcal{P}] = -i \mathcal{K}_3 \cdot \mathcal{P}, \quad (\text{C.3})$$

where $\mathcal{K}_3$ is given by eq.(2.62). From this expression, we can read off the following superconformal algebra.

- Poincaré algebra

$$\begin{aligned} [P_\mu, P_\nu] &= 0, & [M_{\mu\nu}, P_\lambda] &= i(\eta_{\mu\lambda} P_\nu - \eta_{\nu\lambda} P_\mu), \\ [M_{\mu\nu}, M_{\lambda\rho}] &= i(\eta_{\mu\lambda} M_{\nu\rho} - \eta_{\mu\rho} M_{\nu\lambda} - \eta_{\nu\lambda} M_{\mu\rho} + \eta_{\nu\rho} M_{\mu\lambda}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.4})$$

- Supersymmetry algebra

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q_{a\alpha}, \bar{Q}^b_{\dot{\alpha}}\} &= 2\delta_a^b \sigma^\mu_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} P_\mu, \\ [M_{\mu\nu}, Q_a] &= i\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{[\mu} \tilde{\sigma}_{\nu]} Q_a, \\ [M_{\mu\nu}, \bar{Q}^a] &= -i\frac{1}{2} \bar{Q}^a \tilde{\sigma}_{[\mu} \sigma_{\nu]}, \\ [P_\mu, Q_{a\alpha}] &= [P_\mu, \bar{Q}^a_{\dot{\alpha}}] = \{Q_{a\alpha}, Q_{b\beta}\} = \{\bar{Q}^a_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{Q}^b_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.5})$$

- Special superconformal algebra

$$\begin{aligned}
[K_\mu, K_\nu] &= 0, & [M_{\mu\nu}, K_\lambda] &= i(\eta_{\mu\lambda}K_\nu - \eta_{\nu\lambda}K_\mu), \\
\{\bar{S}_a^{\dot{\alpha}}, S^{b\alpha}\} &= 2\delta_a^b \tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{\alpha}\alpha} K_\mu, \\
[M_{\mu\nu}, S^a] &= -i\frac{1}{2}S^a \sigma_{[\mu} \tilde{\sigma}_{\nu]}, \\
[M_{\mu\nu}, \bar{S}_a] &= i\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\sigma}_{[\mu} \sigma_{\nu]} \bar{S}_a, \\
[K_\mu, S^{a\alpha}] &= [K_\mu, \bar{S}_a^{\dot{\alpha}}] = \{S^{a\alpha}, S^{b\beta}\} = \{\bar{S}_a^{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{S}_b^{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.6}$$

- Cross terms between $(P, Q, \bar{Q})$ and $(K, S, \bar{S})$

$$\begin{aligned}
[P_\mu, K_\nu] &= 2i(M_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{\mu\nu}D), \\
[P_\mu, S^a] &= -\bar{Q}^a \tilde{\sigma}_\mu, & [P_\mu, \bar{S}_a] &= \tilde{\sigma}_\mu Q_a, \\
[K_\mu, Q_a] &= \sigma_\mu \bar{S}_a, & [K_\mu, \bar{Q}^a] &= -S^a \sigma_\mu, \\
\{Q_{a\alpha}, S^{b\beta}\} &= i\delta_a^b (2\delta_\alpha^\beta D + (\sigma^{[\mu} \tilde{\sigma}^{\nu]})_\alpha^\beta M_{\mu\nu}) - 2i\delta_\alpha^\beta (A_a^b + (\frac{4}{N} - 1)\delta_a^b R), \\
\{\bar{Q}_\alpha^a, \bar{S}_b^{\dot{\beta}}\} &= -i\delta_a^b (2\delta_\alpha^{\dot{\beta}} D - (\tilde{\sigma}^{[\mu} \sigma^{\nu]})_\alpha^{\dot{\beta}} M_{\mu\nu}) - 2i\delta_\alpha^{\dot{\beta}} (A_b^a + (\frac{4}{N} - 1)\delta_b^a R), \\
\{Q_{a\alpha}, \bar{S}_b^{\dot{\beta}}\} &= \{\bar{Q}_\alpha^a, S^{b\beta}\} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.7}$$

- Dilations

$$\begin{aligned}
[D, P_\mu] &= -iP_\mu, & [D, K_\mu] &= iK_\mu, \\
[D, Q_a] &= -i\frac{1}{2}Q_a, & [D, \bar{Q}^a] &= -i\frac{1}{2}\bar{Q}^a, \\
[D, S^a] &= i\frac{1}{2}S^a, & [D, \bar{S}_a] &= i\frac{1}{2}\bar{S}_a, \\
[D, D] &= [D, R] = [D, M_{\mu\nu}] = [D, A_b^a] = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.8}$$

- R-symmetry, $U(1) \times SU(\mathcal{N})$

$$\begin{aligned}
[R, Q_a] &= -i\frac{1}{2}Q_a, & [R, \bar{Q}^a] &= i\frac{1}{2}\bar{Q}^a, \\
[R, S^a] &= i\frac{1}{2}S^a, & [R, \bar{S}_a] &= -i\frac{1}{2}\bar{S}_a, \\
[R, R] &= [R, P_\mu] = [R, K_\mu] = [R, M_{\mu\nu}] = [R, A_b^a] = 0, \\
[A_b^a, A_d^c] &= 2i(\delta_b^c A_d^a - \delta_d^a A_b^c), \\
[A_b^a, Q_c] &= -2i\delta_c^a Q_b, & [A_b^a, \bar{Q}^c] &= 2i\delta_b^c \bar{Q}^a, \\
[A_b^a, S^c] &= 2i\delta_b^c S^a, & [A_b^a, \bar{S}_c] &= -2i\delta_c^a \bar{S}_b, \\
[A_b^a, P_\mu] &= [A_b^a, K_\mu] = [A_b^a, M_{\mu\nu}] = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.9}$$

D Realization of $O(2, 4) \cong SU(2, 2)$ structure in M

We exhibit explicitly the relation of the four-dimensional conformal group to $O(2, 4) \cong SU(2, 2)$ by introducing six-dimensional gamma matrices with $A = 0, 1, \dots, 5$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Sigma^A \\ \tilde{\Sigma}^A & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{D.1}$$

$\Sigma^A, \tilde{\Sigma}^A$ satisfy

$$\Sigma^A \tilde{\Sigma}^B + \Sigma^B \tilde{\Sigma}^A = 2G^{AB}, \tag{D.2}$$

where $G^{AB} = \text{diag}(+1, -1, -1, -1, -1, +1)$. In particular, here we choose $\Sigma^A, \tilde{\Sigma}^A$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma^\mu &= \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\sigma}^\mu & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^\mu \end{pmatrix}, & \Sigma^4 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \Sigma^5 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\tilde{\Sigma}^\mu &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^\mu & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{\sigma}^\mu \end{pmatrix}, & \tilde{\Sigma}^4 &= -\Sigma^4, & \tilde{\Sigma}^5 &= -\Sigma^5.
\end{aligned} \tag{D.3}$$

$\Sigma_A, \tilde{\Sigma}_A$ satisfy

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Sigma_A \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{\Sigma}_A^\dagger = \Sigma^A. \tag{D.4}$$

For the supermatrix, M , given in eq.(2.63), we may now express the 4×4 part in terms of $\Sigma^{AB} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\Sigma^{[A}\tilde{\Sigma}^{B]}$ as

$$m \equiv \begin{pmatrix} w + \frac{1}{2}\lambda & -i\tilde{a} \\ -i\tilde{b} & \tilde{w} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2}w_{AB}\Sigma^{AB}, \quad (\text{D.5})$$

where w_{45} , $w_{\mu 4}$, $w_{\mu 5}$ are given by

$$\omega_{45} = \lambda, \quad \omega_{\mu 4} = a_\mu - b_\mu, \quad \omega_{\mu 5} = a_\mu + b_\mu. \quad (\text{D.6})$$

Σ^{AB} generates the Lie algebra of $O(2, 4)$

$$[\Sigma^{AB}, \Sigma^{CD}] = -G^{AC}\Sigma^{BD} + G^{AD}\Sigma^{BC} + G^{BC}\Sigma^{AD} - G^{BD}\Sigma^{AC}. \quad (\text{D.7})$$

Eq.(2.67), the condition on M , is satisfied partially by eq.(D.4).

In general, m can be defined as a 4×4 matrix subject to $\text{tr} m = 0$ and a reality condition

$$bm + m^\dagger b = 0, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{D.8})$$

Now, if we write

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{m} &= p^{-1}mp, & b &= pj p^{-1}, \\ p &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, & j &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.9})$$

then $\text{tr} \tilde{m} = 0$ and eq.(D.8) is equivalent to

$$j\tilde{m} + \tilde{m}^\dagger j = 0. \quad (\text{D.10})$$

Hence $\tilde{m} \in \text{su}(2, 2)$.

References

- [1] K. Intriligator. Bonus Symmetries of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super-Yang-Mills Correlation Functions via *AdS* duality. hep-th/9811047.
- [2] J. M. Maldacena. The Large N Limit of Superconformal Field Theories and Supergravity. *Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.*, 2: 231, 1998.
- [3] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov, A. M. Polyakov. Gauge Theory Correlators from Non-Critical String Theory. *Phys. Lett.*, B428: 105, 1998.

- [4] E. Witten. Anti De Sitter Space and Holography. *Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.*, 2: 253, 1998.
- [5] M. Sohnius and P. West. Conformal Invariance in N=4 Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theory. *Phys. Lett.*, 100B: 245, 1981.
- [6] S. Adler, J. Collins and A. Duncan. Energy-momentum-tensor Trace Anomaly in Spin-1/2 Quantum Electrodynamics. *Phys. Rev.*, D15: 1712, 1977.
- [7] L. Brink, O. Lindgren and B. Nilsson. N=4 Yang-Mills Theory on the Light Cone. *Nucl. Phys.*, B212: 401, 1983.
- [8] S. Mandelstam. Light-Cone Superspace and the Ultraviolet Finiteness. *Nucl. Phys.*, B213: 149, 1983.
- [9] P. Howe, K. Stelle and P. Townsend. The Relaxed Hypermultiplet: An unconstrained N=2 Superfield Theory. *Nucl. Phys.*, B214: 519, 1983.
- [10] P. Howe, K. Stelle and P. Townsend. Miraculous Ultraviolet Cancellations in Supersymmetry Made Manifest. *Nucl. Phys.*, B236: 125, 1984.
- [11] A. Parkes and P. West. Finiteness in Rigid Supersymmetric Theories. *Phys. Lett.*, 138B: 99, 1984.
- [12] P. Argyres, M. Plesser, N. Seiberg and E. Witten. New $N = 2$ Superconformal Field Theories in Four Dimensions. *Nucl. Phys.*, B461: 71, 1996.
- [13] T. Eguchi, K. Hori, K. Ito and S. Yang. Study of $N = 2$ Superconformal Field Theories in 4 Dimensions. *Nucl. Phys.*, B471: 430, 1996.
- [14] P. Howe, K. Stelle and P. West. A Class of Finite Four-dimensional Supersymmetric Field Theories. *Phys. Lett.*, B124: 55, 1983.
- [15] N. Seiberg. Non-trivial Fixed Points of The Renormalization Group in Six Dimensions. *Phys. Lett.*, B390: 169, 1997.
- [16] K. Intriligator. RG Fixed Points in Six Dimensions via Branes at Orbifold Singularities. *Nucl. Phys.*, B496: 177, 1997.
- [17] J. Wess and B. Zumino. Supergauge Transformations in Four Dimensions. *Nucl. Phys.*, B70: 39, 1974.
- [18] W. Nahm. Supersymmetries and Their Representations. *Nucl. Phys.*, B135: 149, 1978.

- [19] Shiraz Minwalla. Restrictions Imposed by Superconformal Invariance in Quantum Field Theories. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 2: 781, 1998.
- [20] V. Dobrev and V. Petkova. Group-Theoretical Approach to Extended Conformal Supersymmetry: Function Space Realizations and Invariant Differential Operators. *Fortschr. Phys.*, 35: 537, 1987.
- [21] J-H Park. Superconformal Symmetry in Six-dimensions and Its Reduction to Four. *Nucl. Phys.*, B 539: 599, 1999. hep-th/9807186.
- [22] P. Howe and G. Hartwell. A Superspace Survey. *Class. Quantum Grav.*, 12: 1823, 1995.
- [23] L. Frappat, A. Sciarrino and P. Sorba. Dictionary on Lie Superalgebras. hep-th/9607161.
- [24] J-H Park. $N=1$ Superconformal Symmetry in Four Dimensions. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*, 13: 1743, 1998. hep-th/9703191.
- [25] H. Osborn. $N = 1$ Superconformal Symmetry in Four Dimensional Quantum Field Theory. *Ann. Phys.*, 272:243, 1999. hep-th/9808041.
- [26] V. Molotkov, S. Petrova and D. Stoyanov. Representations of Superconformal Algebra. Two-point and Three-point Green's Functions of Scalar Superfields. *Theor. Math. Phys. (Teor. Mat. Fiz.)*, 26: 125, 1976.
- [27] B. Aneva, S. Mikhov and D. Stoyanov. Two- and Three-Point Functions of Conformal Superfields. *Theor. Math. Phys. (Teor. Mat. Fiz.)*, 35: 383, 1978.
- [28] P. Howe and P. West. Non-Perturbative Green's Functions in Theories with Extended Superconformal Symmetry. hep-th/9509140.
- [29] P. Howe and P. West. Operator Product Expansions in Four-Dimensional Superconformal Field Theories. *Phys. Lett.*, B389: 273, 1996.
- [30] P. Howe and P. West. Superconformal Ward Identities and $N = 2$ Yang-Mills Theory. *Nucl. Phys.*, B486:425, 1997. hep-th/9607239.
- [31] P. Howe and P. West. Superconformal Invariants and Extended Supersymmetry. *Phys. Lett.*, B400:307, 1997. hep-th/9611075.

- [32] D. Anselmi, D. Freedman, M. Grisaru and A. Johansen . Universality of the Operator Product Expansions of SCFT₄. *Phys. Lett.*, B394:329, 1997.
- [33] N. Dorey, T. J. Hollowood, V. V. Khoze, M. P. Mattis and S. Vandoren. Multi-Instanton Calculus and the *AdS/CFT* Correspondence in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Superconformal Field Theory. hep-th/9901128.
- [34] J. Erdmenger, C. Rupp and K. Sibold. Conformal Transformation Properties of the Supercurrent in Four Dimensional Supersymmetric Theories. *Nucl. Phys.*, B530: 501, 1998.
- [35] J. Erdmenger and C. Rupp. Geometrical Superconformal Anomalies. hep-th/9809090.
- [36] J. Erdmenger and C. Rupp. Superconformal Ward Identities for Green Functions with Multiple Supercurrent Insertions. hep-th/9811209.
- [37] J. Erdmenger. Conformally Covariant Differential Operators: Properties and Applications. *Class. Quantum Grav.*, 14: 2061, 1997.
- [38] J. Erdmenger and H. Osborn. Conformally Covariant Differential Operators: Symmetric Tensor Fields. *Class. Quantum Grav.*, 15: 273, 1998.
- [39] I. L. Buchbinder and S. M. Kuzenko. *Ideas and Methods of Supersymmetry and Supergravity or a Walk through Superspace*. Institute of Physics Publishing Ltd., 1995.
- [40] P. West. Introduction to Rigid Supersymmetric Theories. hep-th/9805055.
- [41] B. Dewitt. *Supermanifolds*. Cambridge University Press, 1984. See page 185.
- [42] H. Weyl. *The Classical Groups*. Princeton University Press, 1946.